

## FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	Renyuan Fu
DOCKET NO.:	16-04263.001-R-1
PARCEL NO .:	14-27-107-010

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Renyuan Fu, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>No Change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the Lake County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$27,924
IMPR.:	\$99,287
TOTAL:	\$127,211

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

## **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with 2,052 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1991. Features of the home include a partial basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 420 square foot attached garage. The property has a 10,396 square foot site and is located in Lake Zurich, Ela Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on three comparable sales located within .26 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables are described as two-story dwellings of frame exterior construction with 2,052 or 2,320 square feet of living area. The dwellings were constructed from 1989 to 1993. The comparables have a basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a garage with 420 or 462 square feet of building area. The comparables have sites ranging in size from 10,050 to 13,038 square feet of land area. The comparables sold from August 2014 to

December 2015 for prices ranging from \$335,000 to \$390,000 or from \$163.26 to \$177.39 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's total assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$127,211. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$383,628 or \$186.95 per square foot of living area, including land, when applying the 2016 three-year average median level of assessment for Lake County of 33.16% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review submitted information on seven comparable sales located within .546 of a mile from the subject property. Comparables #6 and #8 appear to be the same property. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of frame exterior construction ranging in size from 2,052 to 2,281 square feet of living area. The dwellings were constructed from 1989 to 1992. The comparables have basements, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a garage size of 400 or 420 square feet of building area. The comparables have sites ranging in size from 10,013 to 12,158 square feet of land area. The comparables sold from March 2014 to August 2016 for prices ranging from \$399,000 to \$475,000 or from \$189.33 to \$214.91 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested that the subject property's assessment be confirmed.

# **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The Board finds the parties submitted ten suggested comparable sales for consideration with one comparable submitted twice by the board of review. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparable #3 and board of review comparable #4 due to their sale dates in 2014 being less proximate in time to the January 1, 2016 assessment date. The Board also gave less weight to board of review comparables #1, #2, #3 and #7 due to their larger dwelling size and basement area when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be appellant's comparables #1 and #2 along with board of review comparables #5, #6 and #8. Board of review comparables #6 and #8 are the same property. The Board finds these four comparables are identical to the subject in dwelling size, number of fireplaces, garage size and basement area with one comparable having a slightly larger basement area. The comparables sold from March 2015 to June 2016 for prices ranging from \$335,000 to \$441,000 or from \$163.26 to \$214.91 per square foot of living area including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$383,627 or \$186.95 per square foot of living area, including land which falls within the range established by the most similar comparable sales in this record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences, when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's estimated market value

as reflected by its assessment is supported. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate by a preponderance of the evidence that the subject was overvalued and no reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Mano Moino Chairman Member Member Member Member DISSENTING:

## CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

March 19, 2019

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

## AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

## APPELLANT

Renyuan Fu, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

### COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085