

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	Gregorio Rodriguez
DOCKET NO.:	16-03587.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.:	01-28-402-007

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Gregorio Rodriguez, the appellant, by attorney Ronald Kingsley of Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC in Lake Forest; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>No Change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the Lake County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$5,131
IMPR.:	\$53,689
TOTAL:	\$58,820

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with 2,012 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1965. The home features a partial unfinished basement. The property has a 10,000 square foot site and is located in Fox Lake, Antioch Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on three comparable sales located between 3.80 and 5.59 miles from the subject property. The comparables consist of a one and one-half-story or two-story dwellings of frame or brick exterior construction ranging in size from 1,750 to 2,032 square feet of building area. The dwellings were constructed from 1952 to 1991. The comparables each have a basement with one having finished area. In addition, each comparable has central air conditioning, two comparables have a fireplace and two comparables have an attached garage

containing 400 or 624 square feet of building area with one comparable also having a 1,300 square foot detached garage. Two of the comparables have sites containing either 43,560 or 101,400 square feet of land area. The appellant did not disclose the land area of comparable #3. The comparables sold in July 2015 or May 2016 for prices ranging from \$142,500 to \$196,000 or from \$77.16 to \$96.46 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on the comparable sales evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$58,820. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$177,382 or \$88.16 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2016 three-year average median level of assessment for Lake County of 33.16% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on three comparable sales located between 1.99 and 5.59 miles from the subject property. Board of review comparable #3 is the same property as the appellant's comparable #3. The comparables consist of a one and one-half-story or two-story dwellings of frame exterior construction ranging in size from 1,918 to 2,068 square feet of living area. The dwellings were constructed in 1960 or 1991. The comparables each have a finished basement. In addition, two comparables have central air conditioning, one comparable has a fireplace and two comparables have an attached garage containing either 400 or 720 square feet of building area with one comparable also having a 527 square foot detached garage. Two of the comparables have sites containing either 16,988 or 61,200 square feet of land area. The board of review did not disclose the land area of comparable #3. The comparables sold from July 2015 to May 2016 for prices ranging from \$196,000 to \$257,000 or from \$96.46 to \$124.27 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and no reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The parties submitted five comparable sales for the Board's consideration which includes the common comparable. The Board finds that neither party submitted comparables that were particularly similar to the subject, due to differences in land area, location, age, design and/or features. The Board gave less weight to the parties' common comparable, along with the appellant's comparables #1 and #2 due to their dissimilar ages when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be comparables #1 and #2 submitted by the board of review. Despite these comparables being located some distance from the subject and having dissimilar land areas, with one being significantly larger, these homes are more similar in dwelling size and age. These comparables sold in August 2015 and May 2016 for prices of

\$235,000 and \$257,000 or for \$122.52 and \$124.27 per square foot of living area, including land. The Board recognizes the subject is inferior to the comparables in land area and also lacks finished basement area and a garage feature. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$177,382 or \$88.16, including land, which is supported by the best comparable sales in this record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences, the Board finds the subject's estimated market value as reflected by its assessment is supported. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate by a preponderance of the evidence that the subject was overvalued and no reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

	Chairman
22. Fer	ChR-
Member	Member
Aster Soffer	Dan Dikini
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

<u>CERTIFICATION</u>

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

August 20, 2019

Mano Morios

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Gregorio Rodriguez, by attorney: Ronald Kingsley Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC 13975 W. Polo Trail Drive #201 Lake Forest, IL 60045

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085