

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Jerome & Catherine Nestor

DOCKET NO.: 16-03555.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 02-35-301-078

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Jerome & Catherine Nestor, the appellants, by attorney Ronald Kingsley of Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC in Lake Forest; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$13,602 **IMPR.:** \$70,423 **TOTAL:** \$84,025

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

# **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellants timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with 2,821 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1990. Features of the home include an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 550 square foot garage. The property has a 10,511 square foot site and is located in Lindenhurst, Lake Villa Township, Lake County.

The appellants contend overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellants submitted information on three comparable sales located within .41 of a mile of the subject property. The comparables were described as two-story dwellings of frame exterior construction containing either 2,139 or 2,821 square feet of living area. The dwellings were constructed from 1990 to 1992. One comparable has a crawl space foundation and two comparables have basements with one having finished area. The comparables each have central

air conditioning and a garage containing either 420 or 550 square feet of building area. Additionally, two comparables have fireplaces and one comparable has an in-ground swimming pool. The comparables have sites ranging in size from 10,907 to 12,783 square feet of land area. The comparables sold from September 2013 to May 2015 for prices ranging from \$160,000 to \$216,000 or from \$56.72 to \$100.98 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the appellants requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$84,025. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$253,393 or \$89.82 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2016 three-year average median level of assessment for Lake County of 33.16% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales located within .416 of a mile of the subject property. Comparable #2 is the same property as the appellants' comparable #3. The comparables contain two-story dwellings of frame exterior construction ranging in size from 2,139 to 2,800 square feet of living area. The dwellings were constructed in 1990 or 1992. Each comparable has a basement with two having finished areas, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a garage ranging in size from 420 to 484 square feet of building area. The comparables have sites ranging in size from 9,038 to 15,146 square feet of land area. The comparables sold from January 2015 to May 2016 for prices ranging from \$216,000 to \$259,000 or from \$89.60 to \$111.93 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

# **Conclusion of Law**

The appellants contend the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellants did not meet this burden of proof and no reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The parties submitted six comparable sales for the Board's consideration which includes the common comparable. The Board gave less weight to the parties' common comparable and board of review comparable #1 due to their smaller dwelling sizes when compared to the subject. The Board also gave less weight to the appellants' comparable #2 due to its sale date in 2013 which is dated and less likely to be indicative of the subject's market value as of the January 1, 2016 assessment date.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the appellants' comparable sale #1, along with comparable sales #3 and #4 submitted by the board of review. These three comparables are most similar to the subject in location, size, design, age and features. These comparables sold from May 2015 to May 2016 for prices ranging from \$160,000 to \$255,000 or from \$56.72 to \$91.07 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$253,393 or \$89.82 per square foot of living area, land included, which

falls within the range established by the most similar comparable sales in this record. After considering adjustments to the comparable sales for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the estimated market value as reflected by the assessment is supported and no reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

	Chairman
L. J. Ferr	a R
Member	Member
Sobot Stoffen	Dan Dikinin
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	
<u>CERTIFICATION</u>	
As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do	

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: August 20, 2019

Mano Morion

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

# **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

# **APPELLANT**

Jerome & Catherine Nestor, by attorney: Ronald Kingsley Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC 13975 W. Polo Trail Drive #201 Lake Forest, IL 60045

# **COUNTY**

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085