

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Eric Hanig

DOCKET NO.: 16-03421.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 16-25-106-037

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Eric Hanig, the appellant, by attorney Ronald Kingsley, of Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC in Lake Forest; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$118,046 **IMPR.:** \$239,321 **TOTAL:** \$357,367

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 2.25-story dwelling of brick exterior construction with 3,613 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1998. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning, two fireplaces and an 816 square foot garage. The property has a 15,214 square foot site and is located in Highland Park, Moraine Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on three comparable sales located from .73 to 1.72 miles from the subject property. The comparables are described as 2-story dwellings of brick exterior construction ranging in size from 4,212 to 4,623 square feet of living area. The dwellings were constructed from 1997 to 2005. Each comparable has a basement with finished area, central air conditioning, one or three fireplaces and a garage ranging in size from 440 to 834 square feet of

building area. The comparables have sites ranging in size from 15,488 to 58,331 square feet of land area. The comparables sold from June 2015 to January 2016 for prices ranging from \$1,025,000 to \$1,289,739 or from \$221.72 to \$306.21 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's total assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$357,367. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$1,077,705 or \$298.29 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2016 three year average median level of assessment for Lake County of 33.16% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review submitted information on three comparable sales that are located from .98 to 1.594 miles from the subject property. The comparables are improved with 2-story dwellings of frame or stucco exterior construction ranging in size from 3,541 to 3,961 square feet of living area. The dwellings were constructed from 2004 to 2008. Each comparable has a basement with finished area, central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces and a garage ranging in size from 441 to 621 square feet of building area. The comparables have sites ranging in size from 13,268 to 15,001 square feet of land area. The comparables sold in October or November 2015 for prices ranging from \$1,191,000 to \$1,500,000 or from \$336.35 to \$388.90 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested that the subject property's assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The Board finds the parties submitted six comparable sales for consideration. The Board gave less weight to appellant's comparables due to their considerably larger dwelling sizes when compared to the subject. In addition, appellant's comparable #2 had a considerably larger land size than the subject's land size.

The Board gave more weight to the board of review comparables because they are most similar to the subject in dwelling size, land size and most features though all are newer in age and have superior finished basements. These properties sold in October or November 2015 for prices ranging from \$1,191,000 to \$1,500,000 or from \$336.35 to \$388.90 per square foot living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects an estimated market value of \$1,077,705 or \$298.29 per square foot of living area, including land, which falls below the range as established by the most similar comparable sales contained in the record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences such as age and basement finish when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's estimated market value as reflected by its assessment is supported.

Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate by a preponderance of the evidence that the subject was overvalued and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

	Chairman
21. Fe-	a R
Member	Member
Solver Stoffen	Dan De Kinin
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	I.C.A.T.I.O.N
<u>C E R T I F</u>	<u>ICATION</u>
As Cloubs of the Illinois Duamouts, Tox Annual I	Doord and the Izamar of the Decords thereof I do

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	e: August 20, 2019	
	Maus Illorias	
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board	

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Eric Hanig, by attorney: Ronald Kingsley Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC 13975 W. Polo Trail Drive #201 Lake Forest, IL 60045

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085