

## FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

| APPELLANT:  | Rajesh Chelladurai |
|-------------|--------------------|
| DOCKET NO.: | 16-03188.001-R-1   |
| PARCEL NO.: | 15-09-105-002      |

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Rajesh Chelladurai, the appellant, by attorney Ronald Kingsley, of Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC in Lake Forest; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>No Change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the Lake County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

| LAND:  | \$34,009  |
|--------|-----------|
| IMPR.: | \$138,803 |
| TOTAL: | \$172,812 |

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with 2,362 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1989. Features of the home include a partial basement with finished area, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 483 square foot attached garage. The property has a 13,345 square foot site and is located in Vernon Hills, Vernon Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on six comparable sales located from .03 to .21 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables are described as two-story dwellings of frame exterior construction that range in size from 2,522 to 2,884 square feet of living area. The dwellings were constructed from 1989 to 1992. The comparables have basements, four of which have finished areas. Additional features include central air conditioning; a fireplace and a garage that

ranges in size from 441 to 770 square feet of building area. The comparables have sites ranging in size from 14,259 to 21,351 square feet of land area. The comparables sold from February 2015 to April 2016 for prices ranging from \$509,000 to \$575,000 or from \$186.20 to \$213.48 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's total assessment to \$163,749 which would reflect a market value of approximately \$491,247 or \$207.98 per square foot of living area, including land.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$172,812. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$521,146 or \$220.64 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2016 three year average median level of assessment for Lake County of 33.16% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales, one of which was also used by the appellant. The comparables are located from .194 to .446 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of frame exterior construction that contain either 2,481 or 2,522 square feet of living area. The dwellings were constructed from 1989 to 1992. The comparables have unfinished basements, central air conditioning, three comparables have one or two fireplaces and a garage containing either 441 or 504 square feet of building area. The comparables have sites ranging in size from 12,160 to 21,351 square feet of land area. The comparables sold from October 2015 to August 2017 for prices ranging from \$509,000 to \$550,000 or from \$201.82 to \$221.68 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested that the subject property's assessment be confirmed.

## **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The Board finds the parties submitted nine suggested comparable sales for consideration, with one common property submitted by both parties. The appellant's comparable #4 is the same property as board of review comparable #2. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparable sales #1, #2 and #3 due to their larger dwelling size when compared to the subject. The Board also gave less weight to the board of review comparable sales #1 and #4 based on their sales occurring in July 2017 and August 2017 which are 19 and 20 months after the January 1, 2016 assessment date and therefore, less indicative of market value.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be appellant's comparable sale #4/board of review comparable #2, appellant's comparables #5 and #6 along with the board of review comparable #3. The Board finds these comparables are most similar to the subject in location, design, age, dwelling size, and/or features. The comparables sold from February 2015 to October 2015 for prices ranging from \$509,000 to \$570,000 or from \$201.82 to \$221.68 per

square foot of living area including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$521,146 or \$220.64 per square foot of living area, including land which falls within the range established by the most similar comparable sales in this record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences, when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's estimated market value as reflected by its assessment is supported. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate by a preponderance of the evidence that the subject was overvalued and no reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Mano Moios

Chairman

Member

Member

Member

Member

**DISSENTING:** 

## CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

January 15, 2019

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

## AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

## APPELLANT

Rajesh Chelladurai, by attorney: Ronald Kingsley Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC 13975 W. Polo Trail Drive #201 Lake Forest, IL 60045

### COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review 18 North County Street 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085