



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: AMH 20142 Borrower LLC
DOCKET NO.: 16-02917.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 15-05-107-074

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are AMH 20142 Borrower LLC, the appellant, by attorney Michael R. Davies, of the Law Offices of Michael R. Davies, Ltd. in Oak Lawn; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds No Change in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$17,235
IMPR.: \$25,183
TOTAL: \$42,418

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of frame construction with 1,150 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1995. Features of the home include a concrete slab foundation, central air conditioning and an attached 230 square-foot garage. The subject's land area was not disclosed. The property is located in Mundelein, Vernon Township, Lake County.

The appellant submitted evidence before the Property Tax Appeal Board claiming overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of the overvaluation claim, the appellant submitted limited descriptive information for ten comparable sales.¹ However, comparable #1 was the subject property. The nine remaining properties were reported as being located from 0.02 to 0.17 of a

¹ The Board requested the appellant to complete the grid analysis in Section V of the appeal petition. The appellant failed to comply with the Board's request.

mile from the subject. The properties' land area was not disclosed. The comparables were reported to consist of one or two-story dwellings that were built from 1994 to 1996. The comparables were reported to have two or three bathrooms, and four comparables were reported to have two bedrooms. The appellant failed to disclose the comparables' exterior construction, foundation type or features such as central air conditioning, fireplaces or garages. The dwellings were reported to have either 1,050 or 1,150 square feet of living area. The comparables sold from January 2013 to November 2015 for prices ranging from \$105,000 to \$127,000 or from \$94.00 to \$113.33 per square foot of living area, land included. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$42,418. The subject's assessment reflects an estimated market value of \$127,919 or \$111.23 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the 2016 three-year average median level of assessment for Lake County of 33.16%.

The board of review presented information on five comparable sales.² The properties have the same neighborhood code as the subject and were reported as being located from 0.059 to 0.212 of a mile from the subject. The properties' land area was not disclosed. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of frame construction. The dwellings were constructed from 1994 to 1996, and each has 1,150 square feet of living area. Each comparable has a concrete slab foundation, central air conditioning and an attached garage with 230 square feet of building area. One of the comparables has a fireplace. The board of review comparables sold from January 2015 to July 2016 for prices ranging from \$130,000 to \$150,000 or from \$113.04 to \$130.43 per square foot of living area, land included. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties presented sale prices for 14 comparable properties. The Board finds that six of the appellant's comparables (#2 and #4 through #8) sold from January 2013 to October 2014. The Board gave less weight to these comparables, because these sales were considered to be dated in relation to the January 1, 2016 assessment date.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value in the record to be the board of review comparables. The Board finds these properties sold proximate to the assessment date at issue and were very similar to the subject in location, two-story design, frame construction, age, living area and features like a concrete slab foundation, central air conditioning, and an attached garage

² The board of review's information consisted of a completed grid analysis, photographic evidence and property records for the subject and their comparable sales.

with 230 square feet of building area. As further support, the Board also considered three of the appellant's comparables, despite the lack of information regarding some of their characteristics. The appellant's comparables #3, #9 and #10 sold proximate to the assessment date at issue and were similar to the subject in location, age and living area. The board of review comparables and the appellant's comparables #3, #9 and #10 sold from January 2015 to July 2016 for prices ranging from \$108,100 to \$150,000 or from \$94.00 to \$130.43 per square foot of living area, land included. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$127,919 or \$111.23 per square foot of living area, land included, which falls within the range established by the best comparable sales in this record. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant was not able to demonstrate that the subject was overvalued and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: August 21, 2018



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

AMH 20142 Borrower LLC, by attorney:
Michael R. Davies
Law Offices of Michael R. Davies, Ltd.
5533 West 109th Street, Unit 219
Oak Lawn, IL 60453

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review
18 North County Street
7th Floor
Waukegan, IL 60085