



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Kevin Rodriguez  
DOCKET NO.: 16-02789.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 08-05-401-033

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Kevin Rodriguez, the appellant; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$10,533  
**IMPR.:** \$14,856  
**TOTAL:** \$25,389

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a one-story dwelling of wood siding exterior construction with 768 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1954. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement and a 576 square foot garage.<sup>1</sup> The property has a 15,075 square foot site and is located in Waukegan, Waukegan Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. The appellant did not contest the land assessment. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables located from .02 of a mile to 1.4 miles from the subject. The comparables are improved with one-story dwellings of wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 768 to 1,008 square feet of living area. The dwellings were constructed in 1953 or

---

<sup>1</sup> The parties differ as to whether or not the subject has central air conditioning. The Board finds the subject did not have central air conditioning as of the lien date at issue per the property record card submitted by the board of review.

1954. The comparables have unfinished basements, two comparables have central air conditioning and each comparable has a garage ranging in size from 308 to 528 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$3,116 to \$13,008 or \$3.09 to \$15.65 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$25,389. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$14,856 or \$19.34 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted four equity comparables located within .27 of a mile of the subject property. The comparables are described as one-story dwellings of wood or aluminum siding exterior construction containing either 768 or 864 square feet of living area. The dwellings were constructed in 1954 to 1955. The comparables have unfinished basements and central air conditioning. Two comparables have a garage with either 264 or 440 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$13,157 to \$15,936 or from \$17.13 to \$20.40 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and no reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the parties submitted eight equity comparables for consideration. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparables #1 and #2 because they are located over 1.24 miles from the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables #3 and #4 along with the board of review comparables. These six comparables are similar to the subject in location, dwelling size, design, age and features. However, all have superior central air conditioning and two comparables lack a garage unlike the subject. These comparables had improvement assessments ranging from \$12,022 to \$15,936 or \$15.06 to \$20.40 per square foot of living area. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$14,856 or \$19.34 per square foot of living area, which falls within the range established by the most similar comparables in this record. After considering necessary adjustments to the comparables for differences including features such as central air conditioning and garages when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is supported.

Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. The requirement is satisfied if the intent is evident to adjust the burden with a reasonable degree of uniformity and if such is the effect of the statute enacted by the General Assembly establishing the method of assessing real property in its general operation. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett, 20 Ill.2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity, which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence presented.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Chairman





\_\_\_\_\_  
Member

\_\_\_\_\_  
Member





\_\_\_\_\_  
Member

\_\_\_\_\_  
Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: November 19, 2019



\_\_\_\_\_  
Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Kevin Rodriguez  
288 Harding St  
Grayslake, IL 60030

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review  
Lake County Courthouse  
18 North County Street, 7th Floor  
Waukegan, IL 60085