

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	Howard Pattis
DOCKET NO.:	16-02724.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.:	16-34-103-004

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Howard Pattis, the appellant, by attorney Brian S. Maher, of Weis, DuBrock, Doody & Maher in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>*A Reduction*</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the Lake County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$70,823
IMPR.:	\$264,477
TOTAL:	\$335,300

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

# **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of stone exterior construction with 4,126 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2006. Features of the home include a full basement with finished area, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 585 square foot garage.<sup>1</sup> The property has an 11,752 square foot site and is located in Deerfield, Moraine Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. The subject's land assessment was not contested. In support of this argument the appellant's attorney submitted limited information on four equity comparables located from .08 to .17 of a mile from the subject property. The appellant's attorney failed to provide any specifics regarding the comparables'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Appellant's attorney provided limited information regarding the features of both the subject property and the comparables. Additional descriptive details about the subject were submitted by the board of review.

basement finish for a comparative analysis. The comparables were improved with two story dwellings of frame, brick or stone exterior construction that range in size from 3,966 to 4,636 square feet of living area. The dwellings were constructed from 2003 to 2006. Each comparable has a full basement, central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces and a garage ranging in size from 540 to 864 square feet of building area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment to \$215,377 or \$52.20 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$338,869. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$268,046 or \$64.97 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located from .094 and .136 of a mile from the subject. The comparables were improved with two story frame, brick or stone dwellings that range in size from 3,347 to 4,129 square feet of living area. The dwellings were constructed from 1998 to 2007. Each comparable has a full basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace and garages that range in size from 640 to 847 square feet of building area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of subject's assessment.

# **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The parties submitted seven comparables for the Board's consideration, as one comparable was submitted by both parties. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparables #2, #3 and #4, as the appellant's attorney failed to provide specifics regarding the comparables' basement finish and board of review's comparables #1 and #4 for differences in dwelling size or age. The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellant's comparable #1/board of review's comparable #3 and board of review's comparable #2. These comparables had improvement assessments of \$57.39 and \$64.10 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$64.97 per square foot of living area is greater than the two most similar comparables in this record.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. The requirement is satisfied if the intent is evident to adjust the burden with a reasonable degree of uniformity and if such is the effect of the statute enacted by the General Assembly establishing the method of assessing real property in its general operation. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. <u>Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett</u>, 20

Ill.2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity, which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence presented.

Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Mano Moios

Chairman

Member

Member

Member

Member

**DISSENTING:** 

#### CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

January 15, 2019

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

# AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

# APPELLANT

Howard Pattis, by attorney: Brian S. Maher Weis, DuBrock, Doody & Maher 1 North LaSalle Street Suite 1500 Chicago, IL 60602-3992

#### COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review 18 North County Street 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085