

## FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	Dan & Julie Lowis
DOCKET NO.:	16-02722.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.:	16-33-108-065

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Dan & Julie Lowis, the appellants, by attorney Brian S. Maher, of Weis, DuBrock, Doody & Maher in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>No Change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the Lake County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$9,855
IMPR.:	\$53,465
TOTAL:	\$63,320

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellants timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a one-story residential condominium unit of brick exterior construction with 1,200 square feet of living area. The condominium was constructed in 1996. Features of the unit include central air conditioning and a fireplace. The property is located in Deerfield, West Deerfield Township, Lake County.

The appellants contend assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. The subject's land assessment was not contested. In support of this argument the appellants submitted information on three equity comparables located .04 or .16 of a mile from the subject. The comparables were improved with one-story brick homes having 1,163 or 1,286 square feet of living area. The dwellings were constructed in 1994 and 1997. Each comparable had central air conditioning and a 1,163 or 1,286 square foot basement. The comparables had improvement assessments of \$48,701 and \$53,113 or \$41.30 and \$41.88 per square foot of living area. Based on this

evidence, the appellants requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$50,023 or \$41.69 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$63,320. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$53,465 or \$44.55 per square foot of living area.

The board of review argued that the appellants inaccurately describe the subject property as consisting of a single-family residence.<sup>1</sup>

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on three equity comparables located in the same condominium association and ranging between .022 and .073 of a mile from the subject. These comparables were improved with one-story brick condominium units with 1,200 square feet of living area that were built in 1996. Each comparable has air conditioning and a fireplace. The comparables have improvement assessments of \$53,465 or \$44.55 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

# **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellants did not meet this burden of proof and no reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The parties provided six equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The appellants' comparables were dissimilar to the subject in size and/or amenities and therefore were given less weight. The board of review's comparables were identical to the subject and therefore were given the most weight in this analysis. These comparables had improvement assessments of \$63,320 to \$44.55 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$44.55 per square foot of living area is identical to the most similar comparables in this record.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. The requirement is satisfied if the intent is evident to adjust the burden with a reasonable degree of uniformity and if such is the effect of the statute enacted by the General Assembly establishing the method of assessing real property in its general operation. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. <u>Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett</u>, 20 Ill.2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity, which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence presented.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Board finds the property record card evidence provided by the board of review more accurately describes the subject property as a condominium.

Based on this record the Board finds the appellants did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and no reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Mano Moios

Chairman

Member

Member

Member

Member

**DISSENTING:** 

#### CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

January 15, 2019

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

## PARTIES OF RECORD

## AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

## APPELLANT

Dan & Julie Lowis, by attorney: Brian S. Maher Weis, DuBrock, Doody & Maher 1 North LaSalle Street Suite 1500 Chicago, IL 60602-3992

## COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review 18 North County Street 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085