

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Alex Padalko
DOCKET NO.: 16-02717.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 16-26-105-013

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Alex Padalko, the appellant, by attorney Brian S. Maher, of Weis, DuBrock, Doody & Maher in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$96,069 **IMPR.:** \$45,510 **TOTAL:** \$141,579

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property is improved with a one-story dwelling of brick exterior construction with 968 square feet of living area situated on a 15,167 square foot site. The dwelling was constructed in 1954 and it features a full unfinished basement, and an attached garage of 280 square feet of building area. The property is located in Highland Park, Moraine Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on three assessment comparables with various degrees of similarity to the subject. The appellant is not contesting the land assessment. Appellant's comparables are improved with one-story dwellings of frame or brick exterior construction. The dwellings range in size from 929 to 1,013 square feet of living area. The buildings were constructed between 1940 and 1951. The comparables feature full unfinished

basements; one comparable has central air conditioning, and two comparables each have a fireplace. The comparables also feature garages measuring between 384 and 484 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$35,070 to \$41,995 or from \$34.62 to \$45.20 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the improvement assessment of the subject be reduced to \$40,133 or \$41.46 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$141,579. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$45,510 or \$47.01 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located in the same neighborhood code assigned by the township assessor as the subject property. The board of review comparables are improved with one-story dwellings of brick exterior construction ranging in size from 897 to 1,054 square feet of living area. The dwellings were constructed between 1951 and 1958. The comparables feature full unfinished basements; two comparables feature central air conditioning, and one comparable features a fireplace. Two comparables also feature garages of 374 and 528 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$44,099 to \$54,204 or from \$47.31 to \$51.43 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested that the subject's improvement assessment be affirmed.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of seven suggested comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparable #1 due to its older age and frame construction when compared to the subject. The Board also gave less weight to appellant's comparable #3 due to its location in a different than the subject property. The Board also gave less weight to board of review comparables #3 and #4 because neither property has a garage.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellant's comparable #2 and the board of review comparable #1 and #2. These comparables are most similar in location, dwelling size, design, age and/or features when compared to the subject property. The three comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$41,995 to \$54,204 or from \$45.20 to \$51.43 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$45,510 or \$47.01 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. The requirement is satisfied if the intent is evident to adjust the taxation burden with a reasonable degree of uniformity and if such is the effect of the statute enacted by the General Assembly establishing the method of assessing real property in its general operation. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett, 20 Ill. 2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence.

After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds that the appellant has not proven by clear and convincing evidence that the subject property is inequitably assessed. Therefore, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that the subject's assessment as established by the board of review is correct and no reduction is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

M	and Illorion
	Chairman
21. Fe-	a R
Member	Member
assert Staffer	Dan De Kinie
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

### CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	December 18, 2018
	Stee M Wagner
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

## IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

### PARTIES OF RECORD

### **AGENCY**

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

### **APPELLANT**

Alex Padalko, by attorney:
Brian S. Maher
Weis, DuBrock, Doody & Maher
1 North LaSalle Street
Suite 1500
Chicago, IL 60602-3992

### **COUNTY**

Lake County Board of Review 18 North County Street 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085