

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: John T. Girardi DOCKET NO.: 16-01748.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 03-29-103-022

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are John T. Girardi, the appellant, by attorney Brian P. Liston of the Law Offices of Liston & Tsantilis, P.C. in Chicago; and the Kane County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Kane** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$22,278 **IMPR.:** \$64,737 **TOTAL:** \$87,015

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Kane County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with 2,803 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1989. Features of the home include a partial unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace, a 270 square foot enclosed frame porch and a 704 square foot garage. The property has a 30,492 square foot site and is located in Deer Creek subdivision, Sleepy Hollow, Dundee Township, Kane County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. The subject's land assessment was not contested. In support of the inequity argument the appellant submitted information on three assessment comparables located in the same Deer Creek subdivision as the subject property. The comparables were improved with two-story dwellings of masonry or

frame exterior construction with 2,803 square feet of living area.¹ The dwellings were constructed in 1989. Each comparable has a partial unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 704 square foot garage. The comparables have improvement assessments of \$62,326 or \$22.24 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's building assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$87,015. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$64,737 or \$23.10 per square foot of living area.

In response to the appellant's data, the board of review submitted a memorandum prepared by the Dundee Township Assessor. The assessor argued that none of the comparables submitted by the appellant have an enclosed frame porch. The assessor asserted that assessments will not be identical and will vary based on amenities in each home.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on three equity comparables located in the same Deer Creek subdivision as the subject property. The comparables were improved with two-story dwellings of frame exterior construction ranging in size from 2,803 to 2,866 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built in 1989. Each comparable has an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and an enclosed frame porch ranging in size from 252 to 315 square feet of building area. Additionally, each comparable has a garage ranging in size from 662 to 768 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$63,931 to \$66,699 or from \$22.81 to \$23.53 per square feet of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and no reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The parties submitted six suggested equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board finds these comparables are similar in location, dwelling size, design, age and some features when compared to the subject. These comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$62,326 to \$66,699 or from \$22.24 to \$23.53 per square foot of living area. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$64,737 or \$23.10 per square foot of living area, which falls within the range established by the most similar comparables in this record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject,

¹ The parties differ as to the exterior construction of the appellant's comparable #1. The Board finds this discrepancy will not impact the Board's decision in this appeal.

the Board finds the evidence demonstrates the subject's improvement assessment is justified. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and no reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. The requirement is satisfied if the intent is evident to adjust the burden with a reasonable degree of uniformity and if such is the effect of the statute enacted by the General Assembly establishing the method of assessing real property in its general operation. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett, 20 Ill.2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity, which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence presented.

said office.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

| Chairman | |
|--|--|
| 21. Fe | a R |
| Member | Member |
| assert Stoffen | Dan De Kinin |
| Member | Member |
| DISSENTING: | |
| <u>CERTIFICATION</u> | |
| As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and compl Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above the complete of the comple | ete Final Administrative Decision of the |

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

Mano Illorios

June 18, 2019

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Date:

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

John T. Girardi, by attorney: Brian P. Liston Law Offices of Liston & Tsantilis, P.C. 33 North LaSalle Street 28th Floor Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Kane County Board of Review Kane County Government Center 719 Batavia Ave., Bldg. C, 3rd Fl. Geneva, IL 60134