

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Peggy Brandon
DOCKET NO.: 16-01714.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 06-35-276-005

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Peggy Brandon, the appellant, by Jessica Hill-Magiera, Attorney at Law in Lake Zurich; and the Kane County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Kane** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$10,909 IMPR.: \$25,815 TOTAL: \$36,724

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Kane County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a part 1-story and part 2-story, multi-family dwelling of frame exterior construction with 1,493 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1864. Features of the property include two apartment units, a partial unfinished basement and a partial crawl-space foundation, central air conditioning and a fireplace. The property has an 11,761 square foot site and is located in South Elgin, Elgin Township, Kane County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on five comparable sales located from 2.43 to 3.72 miles from the subject property. The comparables were described as part 1-story and part 2-story, multifamily dwellings ranging in size from 1,458 to 1,840 square feet of living area. The dwellings were constructed from 1875 to 1918. Each comparable has two apartment units and a full or partial basement. The appellant did not disclose the comparables' exterior construction or land

area. The comparables sold from September 2014 to February 2016 for prices ranging from \$50,000 to \$79,000 or from \$27.17 to \$54.18 per square foot of living area or from \$25,000 to \$39,500 per apartment unit, land included. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$36,724. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$110,382 or \$73.93 per square foot of living area or \$55,191 per apartment unit, land included, when using the 2016 three-year average median level of assessment for Kane County of 33.27% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In response to the appeal, the board of review submitted a memorandum and data prepared by the Elgin Township Assessor. In the memorandum, the assessor argued the appellant's comparables were "distressed sales," but provided no factual support for this assertion.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on seven comparable sales improved with a part 1-story and part 1.5-story; two, 1-story with one having a walk-out basement; two, 2-story; and two, part 1-story and part 2-story frame multifamily dwellings. The dwellings range in size from 1,119 to 2,037 square feet of living area and were constructed from 1893 to 1952. The comparables each have two apartment units and basements, two of which have finished areas. Additionally, two comparables have central air conditioning and five comparables have a garage ranging in size from 190 to 528 square feet of building area. The board of review did not disclose the comparables' proximity to the subject property. The comparables have sites ranging in size from 6,468 to 12,240 square feet of land area. The comparables sold from July 2014 to April 2016 for prices ranging from \$127,500 to \$162,000 or from \$73.64 to \$124.90 per square foot of living area or from \$63,750 to \$81,000 per apartment unit, including land.

In addition, the township assessor developed an estimate of value using rental income from 27 properties to develop a gross rent multiplier of 7 which was applied to an annual estimated income for the subject property of \$17,400 to arrive at an estimated market value of \$121,800 or \$60,900 per apartment unit. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

In written rebuttal, counsel for the appellant contended as to the comparable sales presented by the assessor/board of review, comparables #1, #4 and #6, with sales in 2014 were too remote in time to establish market value as of January 1, 2016; comparables #1, #3, #4, #5 and #7 have garages unlike the subject; comparables #2 through #6 consist of different dwelling styles when compared to the subject's part 1-story and part 2-story dwelling style. In a rebuttal grid analysis, counsel reiterated the three best comparable sales in the record and contended the subject's assessment should be reduced.

Lastly in rebuttal, counsel argued that an analysis of raw sales prices per square foot "does not take into account the fundamental concept of using a median sale price/SF to determine market value." Counsel further argued that using a median sale price per square foot "is more accurate and should be standard practice for determining fair market value."

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and no reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

As an initial matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board gave no weight to the appellant's counsel's argument that the Board should adopt a standard practice of using the median sale price per square foot of living area, including land, of those comparables deemed best in determining fair market value because it is "more accurate." Contrary to this argument, the decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board must be based upon equity and the weight of evidence, not upon a simplistic statistical formula of using the median sale price per square foot of living area, including land, of those comparables determined to be most similar to the subject. (35 ILCS 200/16-185; Chrysler Corp. v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 69 Ill.App.3d 207 (2nd Dist. 1979); Mead v. Board of Review, 143 Ill.App.3d 1088 (2nd Dist. 1986); Ellsworth Grain Co. v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 172 Ill.App.3d 552 (4th Dist. 1988); Willow Hill Grain, Inc. v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 187 Ill.App.3d 9 (5th Dist. 1989)). Based upon the foregoing legal principles and contrary to the assertion of the appellant's counsel, there is no indication that a "median sale price per square foot" is the fundamental or primary means to determine market value.

The Board also gave no weight to the estimate of value under the income approach prepared by the assessor on behalf of the board of review. In <u>Chrysler Corporation v. Property Tax Appeal Board</u>, 69 Ill.App.3d 207 (1979), the court held that significant relevance should not be placed on the cost approach or income approach especially when there is other credible market value data available.

The parties submitted 12 comparable sales for the Board's consideration. The Board finds board of review comparable #2 was the only sale located in South Elgin like the subject. However, board of review comparable #2 was a dissimilar 1-story style dwelling with a walk-out style basement and finished area that was also newer in age when compared to the subject. The board gave less weight to the appellant's comparables #4 and #5 as the sales dates in 2014 are less proximate in time to the lien date at issue. The Board also gave less weight to the comparables submitted by the board of review due to differences in sale date, size, design and age for the following reasons: comparable sales #1, #4 and #6 sold in 2014 and these transactions are dated and less likely to reflect the subject's market value as of the January 1, 2016 assessment date; comparables #1, #2 and #7 are dissimilar in dwelling size when compared to the subject; and comparables #2 and #6 have dissimilar one-story style dwellings when compared to the subject's two-story design.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the appellant's comparable sales #1, #2 and #3, along with board of review comparables #3 and #5. These five comparables sold more proximate in time to the January 1, 2016 assessment date and are most similar to the subject in

size and age. These comparables sold from March 2015 to March 2016 for prices ranging from \$50,000 to \$150,000 or from \$27.17 to \$100.81 per square foot of living area or from \$25,000 to \$75,000 per apartment unit, land included. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$110,382 or \$73.93 per square foot of living area or \$55,191 per apartment unit, land included, which is within the range of the best comparable sales in this record. Based on this evidence, the Board finds no reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

said office.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman	
21. Fer	al R
Member	Member
Sobort Stoffen	Dan De Kinin
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	
<u>CERTIFICATION</u>	
As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this	

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

Mano Illorios

June 18, 2019

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Date:

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Peggy Brandon, by attorney: Jessica Hill-Magiera Attorney at Law 790 Harvest Drive Lake Zurich, IL 60047

COUNTY

Kane County Board of Review Kane County Government Center 719 Batavia Ave., Bldg. C, 3rd Fl. Geneva, IL 60134