

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: T. Ramseyer & P. Koziol Austin Holdings

DOCKET NO.: 16-01683.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 06-24-327-012

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are T. Ramseyer & P. Koziol Austin Holdings, the appellants, by Jessica Hill-Magiera, Attorney at Law in Lake Zurich; and the Kane County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>A Reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Kane** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$6,055 IMPR.: \$23,344 TOTAL: \$29,399

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellants timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Kane County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a part one-story and part two-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with 1,106 square feet of living area.¹ The dwelling was constructed in 1889. Features of the home include a basement and a 324 square foot garage. The property has a 5,821 square foot site and is located in Elgin, Elgin Township, Kane County.

The appellants contend overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellants submitted information on four comparable sales located from .59 of a mile to 1.25 miles from the subject property. The comparables were described as part one-story and part two-story dwellings ranging in size from 998 to 1,258 square feet of living area. The dwellings were constructed from 1880 to 1900. The comparables each have a full or partial basement and a

¹ The appellants' grid analysis was devoid of some pertinent descriptive data, which was drawn from the evidence provided by the board of review.

garage ranging in size from 252 to 484 square feet of building area. Additionally, two comparables have central air conditioning. The appellants did not disclose the comparables' exterior construction or land size. The comparables sold from July 2015 to May 2016 for prices ranging from \$45,300 to \$65,100 or from \$36.01 to \$57.57 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the appellants requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$31,067. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$93,378 or \$84.43 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2016 three-year average median level of assessment for Kane County of 33.27% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In response to the appeal, the board of review submitted a memorandum and data prepared by the Elgin Township Assessor. In the memorandum, the assessor asserted the subject property was not owner-occupied, but utilized as rental property. The assessor critiqued the appellants' comparables disclosing they were sold as foreclosures purchased with cash in as-is condition and were contracted in a short time frame.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on six comparable sales located from .44 of a mile to 1.15 miles from the subject property. The comparables consist of three, two-story and three, part one-story and part-two-story dwellings of frame exterior construction ranging in size from 1,244 to 1,420 square feet of living area. The dwellings were constructed from 1900 to 1925. The comparables each have a basement. Additionally, three of the comparables have central air conditioning and four of the comparables have a garage ranging in size from 280 to 418 square feet of building area. The comparables have sites ranging in size from 3,697 to 10,454 square feet of land area. The comparables sold from June 2014 to November 2015 for prices ranging from \$99,900 to \$124,000 or from \$77.20 to \$94.50 per square foot of living area, including land.

In written rebuttal, counsel for the appellants contended as to the comparable sales presented by the assessor/board of review, comparable #1 is a different style and comparables #3 through #6 sold in 2014, which are too remote in time to establish market value as of January 1, 2016. Furthermore, comparable #3 is 27% larger; comparable #4 is a different style and 36 years newer; comparable #5 lacks a garage; and comparable #6 is a different style and 28% larger than the subject. In a rebuttal grid analysis, counsel reiterated the five best comparable sales in the record and contended the subject's assessment should be reduced.

Lastly in rebuttal, counsel argued that an analysis of raw sales prices per square foot "does not take into account the fundamental concept of using a median sale price/SF to determine market value." Counsel further argued that using a median sale price per square foot "is more accurate and should be standard practice for determining fair market value."

Conclusion of Law

The appellants contend the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must

be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellants met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

As an initial matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board gave no weight to the appellants' counsel's argument that the Board should adopt a standard practice of using the median sale price per square foot of living area, including land, of those comparables deemed best in determining fair market value because it is "more accurate." Contrary to this argument, the decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board must be based upon equity and the weight of evidence, not upon a simplistic statistical formula of using the median sale price per square foot of living area, including land, of those comparables determined to be most similar to the subject. (35 ILCS 200/16-185; Chrysler Corp. v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 69 Ill.App.3d 207 (2nd Dist. 1979); Mead v. Board of Review, 143 Ill.App.3d 1088 (2nd Dist. 1986); Ellsworth Grain Co. v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 172 Ill.App.3d 552 (4th Dist. 1988); Willow Hill Grain, Inc. v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 187 Ill.App.3d 9 (5th Dist. 1989)). Based upon the foregoing legal principles and contrary to the assertion of the appellants' counsel, there is no indication that a "median sale price per square foot" is the fundamental or primary means to determine market value.

The parties submitted ten comparable sales for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to the appellants' comparables #3 and #4 due to their distant locations from the subject property. The Board also gave less weight to comparables #2 through #6 submitted by the board of review. Comparable #2 is distant in proximate location and comparables #3 through #6 sold in 2014, which are dated and less likely to reflect the subject's market value as of the January 1, 2016 assessment date. In addition, comparables #3 and #6 are larger in dwelling size and comparable #4 is newer in age when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the appellants' comparable sales #1 and #2, along with board of review comparable sale #1. These three comparables are most similar to the subject in location, size, design and age. These comparables sold from August 2015 to May 2016 for prices ranging from \$45,300 to \$100,000 or from \$36.01 to \$80.39 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$93,378 or \$84.43 per square foot of living area, land included, which is within the overall price range but above the range on a square foot basis of the best comparable sales in this record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

said office.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman	
21. Fe	a R
Member	Member
assert Stoffen	Dan De Kinin
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	
<u>CERTIFICATION</u>	
As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and compl Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above the complete of the comple	ete Final Administrative Decision of the

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

Mano Illorios

June 18, 2019

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Date:

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Ramseyer & Koziol Austin Holdings, by attorney: Jessica Hill-Magiera Attorney at Law 790 Harvest Drive Lake Zurich, IL 60047

COUNTY

Kane County Board of Review Kane County Government Center 719 Batavia Ave., Bldg. C, 3rd Fl. Geneva, IL 60134