

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Joy Klintworth
DOCKET NO.: 16-01630.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 02-05-101-005

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Joy Klintworth, the appellant, by Jessica Hill-Magiera, Attorney at Law in Lake Zurich; and the Kane County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Kane** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$25,990 **IMPR.:** \$109,809 **TOTAL:** \$135,799

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

## **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Kane County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a one-story dwelling of stone and vinyl exterior construction with 2,861 square feet of living area.<sup>1</sup> The dwelling was constructed in 2001. Features of the home include a basement with finished area, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 673 square foot garage. The property's site contains approximately 9,583 square feet or .22 of an acre of land area and is located in Huntley, Rutland Township, Kane County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on six comparable sales located from .69 to .95 of a mile from the subject. The comparables consist of one-story dwellings of stone and vinyl or brick and vinyl exterior construction ranging in size from 2,575 to 2,804 square feet of living area. The

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The appellant's grid analysis was devoid of some pertinent descriptive data, which was drawn from the evidence provided by the board of review.

dwellings were constructed from 1999 to 2004. The comparables have basements, two of which have finished area and central air conditioning. Four comparables have one or two fireplaces. Additionally, each comparable has a garage ranging in size from 400 to 674 square feet of building area. The comparables have sites ranging in size from approximately 7,841 to 10,454 square feet or from .18 to .24 of an acre of land area. The comparables sold from May 2015 to May 2016 for prices ranging from \$310,000 to \$380,000 or from \$110.56 to \$139.71 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$135,799. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$408,173 or \$142.67 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2016 three-year average median level of assessment for Kane County of 33.27% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

With respect to the appellant's evidence, the board of review submitted a memorandum from the Rutland Township Assessor's Office critiquing the comparables submitted by the appellant's counsel.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review disclosed the subject property was purchased in November 2013 for a price of \$386,000 or \$134.92 per square foot of living area, land included. Additionally, the board of review submitted information on six comparable sales located from .84 of a mile to 1.12 miles from the subject. The comparables consist of one-story dwellings of stone and vinyl or brick and vinyl exterior construction ranging in size from 2,721 to 3,124 square feet of living area. The dwellings were constructed from 2000 to 2005. The comparables have basements with finished area, central air conditioning and five comparables have a fireplace. Each comparable has a garage ranging in size from 400 to 653 square feet of building area. The comparables have sites ranging in size from 8,712 to 17,424 square feet of land area. These properties sold from October 2013 to January 2016 for prices ranging from \$402,500 to \$473,000 or from \$147.92 to \$161.79 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

In written rebuttal, counsel for the appellant contended comparables #1, #2, #3 and #4 sales in 2013 or 2014 were too remote in time to establish market value as of January 1, 2016. In a rebuttal grid analysis, counsel reiterated the eight best comparable sales in the record and contended the subject's assessment should be reduced.

Lastly in rebuttal, counsel argued that an analysis of raw sales prices per square foot "does not take into account the fundamental concept of using a median sale price/SF to determine market value." Counsel further argued that using a median sale price per square foot "is more accurate and should be standard practice for determining fair market value."

## **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and no reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

As an initial matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board gave no weight to the appellant's counsel's argument that the Board should adopt a standard practice of using the median sale price per square foot of living area, including land, of those comparables deemed best in determining fair market value because it is "more accurate." Contrary to this argument, the decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board must be based upon equity and the weight of evidence, not upon a simplistic statistical formula of using the median sale price per square foot of living area, including land, of those comparables determined to be most similar to the subject. (35 ILCS 200/16-185; Chrysler Corp. v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 69 Ill.App.3d 207 (2nd Dist. 1979); Mead v. Board of Review, 143 Ill.App.3d 1088 (2nd Dist. 1986); Ellsworth Grain Co. v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 172 Ill.App.3d 552 (4th Dist. 1988); Willow Hill Grain, Inc. v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 187 Ill.App.3d 9 (5th Dist. 1989)). Based upon the foregoing legal principles and contrary to the assertion of the appellant's counsel, there is no indication that a "median sale price per square foot" is the fundamental or primary means to determine market value.

The parties submitted 12 comparable sales for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparable sales #1, #2, #4 and #6 due to their lack of finished basement area dissimilar to the subject. The Board also gave less weight to board of review comparables #1, #2, #3 and #4 as their sales in 2013 or 2014 are dated and less likely to reflect the subject's market value as of the January 1, 2016 assessment date. Additionally, the Board gave little weight to the subject's reported November 2013 sale price as it is dated and less likely to reflect the subject's market value as of the lien date at issue.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the appellant's comparable sales #3 and #5, along with comparable sales #5 and #6 submitted by the board of review. These four comparables are most similar to the subject in location, size, design, age and features. These comparables sold from August 2015 to May 2016 for prices ranging from \$375,000 to \$435,000 or from \$137.87 to \$155.14 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$408,173 or \$142.67 per square foot of living area, including land, which falls within the range of the best comparable sales in this record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's estimated market value as reflected by its assessment is supported. Therefore, no reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

said office.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman	
21. Fe	al R
Member	Member
Sovet Stoffen	Dan De Kinin
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	
<u>CERTIFICATION</u>	
As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this	

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

June 18, 2019

Maus Illorias

### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Date:

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

## PARTIES OF RECORD

### **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

### **APPELLANT**

Joy Klintworth, by attorney: Jessica Hill-Magiera Attorney at Law 790 Harvest Drive Lake Zurich, IL 60047

## **COUNTY**

Kane County Board of Review Kane County Government Center 719 Batavia Ave., Bldg. C, 3rd Fl. Geneva, IL 60134