

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Natalie Jump
DOCKET NO.: 16-01628.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 14-12-452-006

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Natalie Jump, the appellant, by Jessica Hill-Magiera, Attorney at Law in Lake Zurich; and the Kane County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>A Reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Kane** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$20,748 **IMPR.:** \$62,593 **TOTAL:** \$83,341

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Kane County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with 3,407 square feet of living area.¹ The dwelling was constructed in 2002. Features of the home include an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, two fireplaces and a 618 square foot garage. The property has a 11,761 square foot site and is located in Aurora, Sugar Grove Township, Kane County.

The appellant's appeal is based on overvaluation. In support of this argument, the appellant's counsel submitted evidence disclosing the subject property was purchased on April 5, 2016 for a price of \$250,500. The appellant partially completed Section IV—Recent Sale Data of the appeal petition reporting that the subject property was purchased from the owner of record which was Federal National Mortgage Association as reported in the Settlement Statement submitted by the

¹ Appellant's counsel failed to complete Section III – Description of Property: descriptive data has been drawn from the property record card evidence provided by the board of review.

appellant. Also, the parties to the transaction were not related and the property was advertised by sign, internet and/or auction. The appellant did not disclose how long the property was advertised for sale. Additionally, the PTAX-203 Real Estate Transfer Declaration disclosed the sale was a Bank REO (real estate owned) transaction. A copy of the Settlement Statement reflects the purchase price and the date of sale. The appellant's attorney also submitted a brief in support of the appeal. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment to reflect the purchase price.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$105,923. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$318,374 or \$93.45 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2016 three-year average median level of assessment for Kane County of 33.27% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted two grid analyses, one with five equity comparables and one with three sales comparables. The equity data will not be addressed further since it is unresponsive to the appellant's overvaluation appeal.

The board of review submitted three comparable sales located within .33 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables were improved with one-story dwellings of frame or brick and frame exterior construction ranging in size from 2,283 to 2,704 square feet of living area. The dwellings were constructed in 2001 or 2002. Each comparable has an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a garage ranging in size from 440 to 960 square feet of building area. The comparables have sites ranging in size from 10,454 to 20,473 square feet of land area. These properties sold from June 2013 to March 2016 for prices ranging from \$255,000 to \$325,000 or from \$98.00 to \$142.36 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

In written rebuttal, the appellant's counsel contends the board of review evidence is not responsive nor relevant to the basis of the appeal.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board gave no weight to the five equity comparables submitted by the board of review as this evidence does not address the appellant's market value argument.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the purchase of the subject property in April 2016 for a price of \$250,500. The appellant provided evidence demonstrating the sale had the elements of an arm's length transaction. The appellant completed Section IV - Recent Sale Data of the appeal disclosing the parties to the transaction were not related, the property had

been advertised on the open market by sign, internet and/or auction. In further support of the transaction, the appellant submitted a copy of the settlement statement. The Board finds the fact the property was sold by Fannie Mae calls into question whether the purchase price is reflective of fair cash value. The Board, however, finds the board of review did not present any evidence to challenge the arm's length nature of the transaction or to refute the contention that the purchase price was reflective of market value. The Board also finds the board of review comparable sales were either dissimilar in size and/or are dated sales which would not be indicative of market value as of the January 1, 2016 assessment date. The Board finds the purchase price is below the market value reflected by the assessment. Based on this record the Board finds the subject property had a market value of \$250,500 as of January 1, 2016. Since market value has been determined the 2016 three-year average median level of assessment for Kane County of 33.27% shall apply. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(c)(1).

said office.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman	
21. Fer	al R
Member	Member
Sobort Stoffen	Dan De Kinin
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	
<u>CERTIFICATION</u>	
As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this	

Date: June 18, 2019

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

Mano Illorios

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Natalie Jump, by attorney: Jessica Hill-Magiera Attorney at Law 790 Harvest Drive Lake Zurich, IL 60047

COUNTY

Kane County Board of Review Kane County Government Center 719 Batavia Ave., Bldg. C, 3rd Fl. Geneva, IL 60134