



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Daniel & Sybil Alex
DOCKET NO.: 16-01622.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 06-19-479-004

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Daniel & Sybil Alex, the appellants, by attorney Jessica Hill-Magiera, Attorney at Law in Lake Zurich; and the Kane County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Kane** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$23,477
IMPR.: \$82,009
TOTAL: \$105,486

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellants timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Kane County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a part one-story and part two-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with brick trim containing 3,672 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2008. Features of the home include an unfinished basement, central air conditioning and a 524 square foot garage. The property has a 10,000 square foot site and is located in Elgin, Elgin Township, Kane County.

The appellants contend overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellants submitted information on six comparable sales located from .01 of a mile to 1.28 miles from the subject. The comparables consist of part one-story and part two-story dwellings ranging in size from 3,429 to 3,672 square feet of living area. The appellants did not disclose the exterior construction of the dwellings. The dwellings were constructed in 2005 or 2006. The comparables have a full or partial basement, central air conditioning and four comparables have

a fireplace. Each comparable has a garage ranging in size from 524 to 670 square feet of building area. The appellants did not disclose the site sizes of the comparables. The comparables sold from May 2015 to January 2016 for prices ranging from \$240,000 to \$309,250 or from \$67.76 to \$84.22 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the appellants requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$105,486. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$317,060 or \$86.35 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2016 three-year average median level of assessment for Kane County of 33.27% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

With respect to the appellants' evidence, the board of review submitted a letter from the Elgin Township Assessor critiquing the comparables submitted by the appellants' counsel. The assessor contends the comparables sold as short sales or foreclosures that were in need of repairs or sold in "AS-IS" condition.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on six comparable sales located from .06 of a mile to 1.33 miles from the subject. Board of review comparable #1 is the same property as the appellants' comparable #3. The comparables consist of part one-story and part two-story dwellings of frame or frame with brick trim exterior construction ranging in size from 3,046 to 3,672 square feet of living area. The dwellings were constructed from 2004 to 2008. The comparables have a basement, four of which have finished area, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a garage ranging in size from 490 to 670 square feet of building area. The comparables have sites ranging in size from 10,000 to 13,108 square feet of land area. These properties sold from April 2013 to October 2015 for prices ranging from \$309,250 to \$387,500 or from \$84.22 to \$111.13 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

In written rebuttal, counsel for the appellants contended as to the comparable sales presented by the assessor/board of review, comparables #2, #3, #5 and #6 sales in 2013 or 2014 were too remote in time to establish market value as of January 1, 2016. In a rebuttal grid analysis, counsel reiterated the seven best comparable sales in the record and contended the subject's assessment should be reduced.

Lastly in rebuttal, counsel argued that an analysis of raw sales prices per square foot "does not take into account the fundamental concept of using a median sale price/SF to determine market value." Counsel further argued that using a median sale price per square foot "is more accurate and should be standard practice for determining fair market value."

Conclusion of Law

The appellants contend the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or

construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellants did not meet this burden of proof and no reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

As an initial matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board gave no weight to the appellants' argument that the Board should adopt a standard practice of using the median sale price per square foot of living area, including land, of those comparables deemed best in determining fair market value because it is "more accurate." Contrary to this argument, the decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board must be based upon equity and the weight of evidence, not upon a simplistic statistical formula of using the median sale price per square foot of living area, including land, of those comparables determined to be most similar to the subject. (35 ILCS 200/16-185; Chrysler Corp. v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 69 Ill.App.3d 207 (2nd Dist. 1979); Mead v. Board of Review, 143 Ill.App.3d 1088 (2nd Dist. 1986); Ellsworth Grain Co. v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 172 Ill.App.3d 552 (4th Dist. 1988); Willow Hill Grain, Inc. v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 187 Ill.App.3d 9 (5th Dist. 1989)). Based upon the foregoing legal principles and contrary to the assertion of the appellants' counsel in the rebuttal brief, there is no indication that a "median sale price per square foot" is the fundamental or primary means to determine market value.

The parties submitted 11 comparable sales for the Board's consideration with one comparable being common to both parties. The Board gave less weight to the appellants' comparable sales #2 and #6 due to their distant locations in relation to the subject property. The Board gave less weight to board of review comparables #2, #3, #5 and #6 as their sales in 2013 or 2014 are dated and less likely to reflect the subject's market value as of the January 1, 2016 assessment date. Furthermore, comparables #2, #5 and #6 are distant in location and comparable #5 also has a dissimilar dwelling size when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the parties common comparable, the appellants' comparable sales #1, #4 and #5 along with comparable sale #4 submitted by the board of review. These five comparables sold more proximate in time to the January 1, 2016 assessment date and are most similar to the subject in location, size, age and features. These comparables sold from May 2015 to January 2016 for prices ranging from \$251,500 to \$387,500 or from \$68.79 to \$105.99 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$317,060 or \$86.35 per square foot of living area, including land, which falls within the range of the best comparable sales in this record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's estimated market value as reflected by its assessment is supported. Therefore, no reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman



Member

Member



Member

Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: June 18, 2019



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Daniel & Sybil Alex, by attorney:
Jessica Hill-Magiera
Attorney at Law
790 Harvest Drive
Lake Zurich, IL 60047

COUNTY

Kane County Board of Review
Kane County Government Center
719 Batavia Ave., Bldg. C, 3rd Fl.
Geneva, IL 60134