

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: HPI Investments LLC Series 3

DOCKET NO.: 16-01564.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 15-22-101-011

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are HPI Investments LLC Series 3, the appellant, by attorney Michael R. Davies of Ryan Law LLP in Chicago; and the Kane County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Kane** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$4,370 **IMPR.:** \$50,131 **TOTAL:** \$54,501

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Kane County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story multi-family dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 3,334 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1926. Features of the property include two apartments, a full basement and a detached garage with 800 square feet of building area. The property has a 9,000 square foot site and is located in Aurora, Aurora Township, Kane County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted a list of fourteen proposed comparable sales that sold from May 2013 to August 2015 for prices ranging from \$50,000 to \$145,000. The appellant provided minimal descriptive information about the comparables reporting that five of the dwellings ranged in size from 1,225 to 1,802 square feet of living area. The appellant requested the subject's assessment be reduced to \$50,000.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$54,401. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$163,514 or \$49.04 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2016 three-year average median level of assessment for Kane County of 33.27% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on three comparable sales identified by the township assessor improved with part two-story and part one-story dwellings that range in size from 2,778 to 2,954 square feet of living area and were built in 1927 and 1929. Each comparable has a basement, two fireplaces and a garage ranging in size from 440 to 528 square feet of building area. Two comparables have central air conditioning. The sales occurred from November 2013 to November 2014 for prices ranging from \$201,000 to \$290,000 or from \$72.35 to \$99.28 per square foot of living area, including land. The board requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the comparable sales provided by the board of review, which were relatively similar to the subject in location, age, style, size and features. The comparables sold for prices ranging from \$201,000 to \$290,000 or from \$72.35 to \$99.28 per square foot of living area. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$163,514 or \$49.04 per square foot of living area, including land, which is below the range established by the best comparable sales in this record. The comparables provided by the board of review support the conclusion the subject property is not overvalued. Little weight was given the appellant's evidence as it contained practically no descriptive information about the dwellings to allow the Property Tax Appeal Board to conduct a meaningful comparative analysis of the comparable sales to the subject property. Additionally, the limited descriptive information provided by the appellant disclosed that five of the comparables had dwellings that were significantly smaller than the subject dwelling. Based on this evidence the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

said office.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman	
21. Fen	a R
Member	Member
Sobert Staffen	Dan De Kinin
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	
DISSELVIIIVG.	·
<u>CERTIFIC A</u>	<u>ATION</u>
As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the	

Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this

Date: July 16, 2019

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

Mauro Illorias

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

HPI Investments LLC Series 3, by attorney: Michael R. Davies Ryan Law LLP 311 South Wacker Drive Mailbox #29 Chicago, IL 60606

COUNTY

Kane County Board of Review Kane County Government Center 719 Batavia Ave., Bldg. C, 3rd Fl. Geneva, IL 60134