

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Arnold Boris DOCKET NO.: 16-00523.001-R-1

PARCEL NO.: 11-04-04-219-028-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Arnold Boris, the appellant, by attorney Brian S. Maher, of Weis, DuBrock, Doody & Maher in Chicago; and the Will County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Will** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$16,004 **IMPR.:** \$24,227 **TOTAL:** \$40,231

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Will County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a one-story dwelling of frame exterior construction situated on a concrete slab foundation with 900 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1970. Features include a 572 square foot garage. The property has a 6,634 square foot site and is located in Romeoville, Lockport Township, Will County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. The subject's land assessment was not contested. In support of the equity argument the appellant submitted four equity comparables located in the same neighborhood as the subject as defined by the local assessor. The comparables consist of one-story style frame dwellings that range in size from 984 to 1,280 square feet of living area. The dwellings were constructed in 1969 or 1979. Each comparable has a concrete slab foundation, one comparable has central air conditioning and two comparables have garages of 400 or 576 square feet of building area. The comparables have

improvement assessments of \$21,641 or \$27,886 or from \$21.78 to \$23.23 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$40,231. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$24,227 or \$26.92 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted a memorandum from Lockport Township Assessor's Office, along with additional data. The assessor argued the appellant's comparables #1 and #3 have no garage and that comparables #2 and #4 are larger in dwelling size when compared to the subject.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted four equity comparables located in the same neighborhood as the subject as defined by the local assessor. The comparables consist of one-story style frame dwellings that contain 900 or 984 square feet of living area. The dwellings were constructed in 1969. Each comparable has a concrete slab foundation, one comparable has central air conditioning and each comparable has a garage ranging in size from 400 to 576 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$24,227 to \$28,317 or from \$24.62 to \$29.91 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

# **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and no reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The parties submitted eight equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables #2 and #4 due to their larger dwelling sizes, appellant's comparables #1 and #3 because they lacked a garage and board of review comparable #2 due to it having superior central air conditioning when compared to the subject. The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the remaining three comparables submitted by the board of review. These comparables are most similar in location, dwelling size, design, age and features when compared to the subject. These comparables had improvement assessments ranging from \$24,227 to \$28,210 or from \$24.62 to \$29.91 per square foot of living area. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$24,227 or \$26.92 per square foot of living area, which falls within the range established by the most similar comparables in this record. Therefore, no reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. The requirement is satisfied if the intent is evident to adjust the burden

with a reasonable degree of uniformity and if such is the effect of the statute enacted by the General Assembly establishing the method of assessing real property in its general operation. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett, 20 Ill.2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity, which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence presented.

Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and no reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

| , pl           | aux Illorias |
|----------------|--------------|
|                | Chairman     |
|                |              |
| Member         | Member       |
| Assort Stoffen | Dan Dikini   |
| Member         | Member       |
| DISSENTING:    |              |

# CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: January 15, 2019

Star Mulyne

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

# **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

# **APPELLANT**

Arnold Boris, by attorney: Brian S. Maher Weis, DuBrock, Doody & Maher 1 North LaSalle Street Suite 1500 Chicago, IL 60602-3992

# **COUNTY**

Will County Board of Review Will County Office Building 302 N. Chicago Street Joliet, IL 60432