

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

| APPELLANT: | Dustin & Tricia Kooy |
|-------------|----------------------|
| DOCKET NO.: | 16-00316.001-R-1 |
| PARCEL NO.: | 14-14-29-210-005 |

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Dustin & Tricia Kooy, the appellants, by Jessica Hill-Magiera, Attorney at Law in Lake Zurich; and the Kankakee County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>*A Reduction*</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Kankakee** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

| LAND: | \$8,159 |
|--------|----------|
| IMPR.: | \$9,713 |
| TOTAL: | \$17,872 |

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellants timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Kankakee County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a one-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with 1,525 square feet of living area.¹ The dwelling was constructed in 1965. Features of the home include a crawl space foundation, two bedrooms, one and one-half bathrooms and a one-car attached garage. The property also has a pole barn with 768 square feet of building area. The property has a 13,500 square foot site and is located in Herscher, Pilot Township, Kankakee County.

The appellants' appeal is based on overvaluation. In support of this argument the appellants submitted evidence disclosing the subject property was purchased on November 24, 2015 for a price of \$53,620. The appellants completed Section IV–Recent Sale Data of the appeal petition

¹ The parties differ slightly as to the size of the subject's dwelling. The Board finds the small discrepancy will not impact the Board's decision in this appeal.

reporting that the subject property was purchased from the owner of record, which was The Secretary of Housing and Urban Development as reported in the Settlement Statement submitted by the appellants. Also, the parties to the transaction were not related and the property was advertised by a realtor through the Multiple Listing Service. Additionally, the Multiple Listing Sheet submitted by the appellant indicated the subject property was listed on the market for 154 days. A copy of the Settlement Statement reflects the purchase price, date of sale and depicts the distribution of broker's fees to two entities. Based on this evidence, the appellants requested a reduction in the subject's assessment to reflect the purchase price.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$33,095. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$99,295 or \$65.11 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2016 three-year average median level of assessment for Kankakee County of 33.33% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on two equity comparables with varying degrees of similarity to the subject. The board of review also submitted a memo indicating the subject had a prior sheriff's sale in May 2014 as a result of a foreclosure and arguing the subject is equitably assessed. Based on the foregoing evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

In rebuttal, the appellants' attorney submitted a brief in which it was asserted that since the board of review did not dispute the recent sale of the subject property or contest its validity, the board of review's evidence is neither responsive or relevant to the basis of the appeal. Counsel also submitted copies of supporting statutory references and a copy of a Property Tax Appeal Board Decision rendered in Docket No. 15-01358.001-R-1 in support of her contention.

Conclusion of Law

The appellants contend the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellants met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the purchase of the subject property in November 2015 for a price of \$53,620. The appellants provided evidence demonstrating the sale had the elements of an arm's length transaction. The appellants completed Section IV - Recent Sale Data of the appeal disclosing the parties to the transaction were not related, the property was sold using a Realtor, the property had been advertised on the open market with the Multiple Listing Service and it had been on the market for 154 days. In further support of the transaction the appellants submitted a copy of the settlement statement. The Board finds the purchase price of \$53,620 is below the market value of \$99,295 as reflected by the assessment. The Board finds the board of review did not present any evidence to challenge the arm's length nature of the transaction. Furthermore, the board of review failed to provide evidence to refute the contention that the purchase price was not reflective of market value. The Board finds the comparables

submitted by the board of review were to demonstrate the uniformity of the subject's assessment and did not address the appellant's market value argument. Based on this record the Board finds the subject property had a market value of \$53,620 as of January 1, 2016. Since market value has been determined the 2016 three-year average median level of assessment for Kankakee County of 33.33% shall apply. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(c)(1).

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

| | Chairman |
|--------------|------------|
| 22. Fer | ChR- |
| Member | Member |
| Aster Soffer | Dan Dikini |
| Member | Member |
| DISSENTING: | |

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

May 21, 2019

Mano Morios

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Dustin & Tricia Kooy, by attorney: Jessica Hill-Magiera Attorney at Law 790 Harvest Drive Lake Zurich, IL 60047

COUNTY

Kankakee County Board of Review County Administration Building 189 East Court Street 1st Floor Kankakee, IL 60901