

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Lou Davis

DOCKET NO.: 15-40036.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 25-06-215-005-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Lou Davis, the appellant; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$ 2,031 **IMPR.:** \$14,805 **TOTAL:** \$16,836

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

## **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2015 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

## **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of an 87-year-old, two-story, two-unit residential building of masonry construction with 2,110 square feet of building area. The property has a 3,125 square foot site and is located in Lake Township, Cook County. The property is a class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted an appraisal estimating the subject property had a market value of \$90,000 as of May 25, 2012. The appraisal is based on four sales comparables, one listed property, and one pending sale. The sales comparables range: in age from 92 to 106-years-old; in size from 1,956 to 2,988 square feet of building area; in sale date from October, 2011 to May, 2012; and in sale price from \$21.25 to \$63.91 per square feet of building area. In addition, the appellant submitted a prior Board decision from 2014 lowering the subject's assessment to \$9,000.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$16,836. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$168,360 or \$79.79 per square foot of building area, including land, when applying the 2015 level of assessments for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10%.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four suggested equity comparables with sales data on each of those properties. Those comparables range: in age from 56 to 122-year-old; in size from 1,840 to 2,448; in sale date from January, 2013 to October, 2015; and in sale price from \$85.71 to \$145.65 per square foot of building area.

At hearing, the appellant testified that the subject is tenant-occupied and that the 2014 decision is from the previous triennial reassessment period. The appellant also distinguished the board of review's comparables based on distance from the subject property. He testified that: board of review's comparable #4 is 6.2 miles from the subject; comparable #3 is 5.93 miles away; comparable #2 is 1.56 miles away and sold as a renovated single-family home; and comparable #1 is a rehabbed property. The board of review objected to the conclusions of value in the appellant's appraisal because the appraiser was not present to testify. The Board sustained the county's objection.

#### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

In viewing the totality of the market value evidence, the Board finds that the appellant failed to call as a witness the appraiser whose work product was submitted. Specifically, the appraiser was not present at hearing to testify as to his qualifications, identify his work, testify about the contents of the evidence, the conclusions or be cross-examined by the opposing party and the Board. In Novicki v. Department of Finance, 373 Ill.342, 26 N.E.2d 130 (1940), the Supreme Court of Illinois stated, "[t]he rule against hearsay evidence, that a witness may testify only as to facts within his personal knowledge and not as to what someone else told him, is founded on the necessity of an opportunity for cross-examination, and is basic and not a technical rule of evidence." Novicki, 373 Ill. At 344. In Oak Lawn Trust & Savings Bank v. City of Palos Heights, 115 Ill.App.3d 887, 450 N.E.2d 788, 71 Ill.Dec. 100 (1st Dist. 1983) the appellate court held that the admission of an appraisal into evidence prepared by an appraiser not present at the hearing was in error. The appellant court found the appraisal to be hearsay that did not come within any exception to the hearsay rule, thus inadmissible against the defendant, and the circuit court erred in admitting the appraisal into evidence. Id.

In <u>Jackson v. Board of Review of the Department of Labor</u>, 105 Ill2d 501, 475 N.E.2d 879, 86 Ill.Dec. 500 (1985), the Supreme Court of Illinois held that the hearsay evidence rule applies to

the administrative proceedings under the Unemployment Insurance act. The court stated, however, hearsay evidence that is admitted without objection may be considered by the administrative body and by the courts on review. <u>Jackson</u> 105 Ill.2d at 509. In the instant case, the board of review has objected to the appellant's appraisal as hearsay. Therefore, the Board finds the appraisal hearsay and the adjustments and conclusions of size and/or value are given no weight. However, the Board will consider the raw sales data submitted by the parties.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be appellant's comparable sales #3 and #4, and the board of review's comparable sales #1 and #2. These comparables sold for prices ranging from \$52.50 to \$145.65 per square foot of building area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$79.79 per square foot of building area, including land, which is within the range established by the best comparable sales in this record. Based on this evidence the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

said office.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman	
21. Fe-	R
Member	Member
asout Steffen	Dan Dikini
Member	Member
DISSENTING:CERTIFICATION	 <u>O N</u>
As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this	

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

Mano Illorios

June 18, 2019

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Date:

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

## **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

# **APPELLANT**

Lou Davis 3473 S. King Drive #424 Chicago, IL 60616

# **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602