



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: John Murphy
DOCKET NO.: 15-39218.001-R-1 through 15-39218.002-R-1
PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are John Murphy, the appellant, by attorney Anthony M. Farace, of Amari & Locallo in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO	PARCEL NUMBER	LAND	IMPRVMT	TOTAL
15-39218.001-R-1	13-03-327-011-0000	6,904	49,682	\$56,586
15-39218.002-R-1	13-03-327-012-0000	6,904	5,520	\$12,424

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2015 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of two parcels improved with a two-story dwelling of masonry construction. The dwelling is approximately 86 years old and has 3,107 square feet of living area. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a three-car garage. The property's two parcels have a combined 9,864 square foot site and are located in Chicago, Jefferson Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-06 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on six equity comparables with the same assigned neighborhood and classification codes as the subject. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of stucco, frame, masonry or frame and masonry construction. The dwellings are from 66 to 92 years old. The appellant's grid analysis indicates the comparables have features

similar to the subject in varying degrees. The comparable dwellings range in size from 3,206 to 4,987 square feet of living area, and their improvement assessments range from \$49,509 to \$72,013 or from \$14.44 to \$15.99 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment to \$36,748 or \$11.83 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's two parcels have a combined final assessment of \$69,010 and a combined improvement assessment of \$55,207 or \$17.77 per square foot of living area. The board of review presented descriptions and assessment information on three suggested comparable properties with the same assigned neighborhood and classification codes as the subject.¹ The comparables were described as being located one-quarter mile from the subject property. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of masonry construction. The dwellings are from 75 to 95 years old. The appellant's grid analysis indicates the comparables have features similar to the subject in varying degrees. The comparable dwellings range in size from 2,721 to 3,196 square feet of living area and their improvement assessments range from \$49,305 to \$62,765 or from \$18.12 to \$19.64 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties presented assessment data on a total of nine suggested comparables. The Board finds the appellant's comparables #1, #2 and #6 had significantly more living area than the subject and comparable #1 also differed in age. As a result, the appellant's comparable #1, #2 and #6 received reduced weight in the Board's analysis. The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables #3 through #5 and the comparables submitted by the board of review. The Board finds these comparables were very similar to the subject in location, age, living area and most features. These six comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$14.87 to \$19.64 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$17.77 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

¹ In the board of review's grid analysis, information in the column for comparable #1 is actually the subject's second parcel. The board of review's three comparables are listed as comparables #2 through #4.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: June 19, 2018



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

John Murphy, by attorney:
Anthony M. Farace
Amari & Locallo
734 North Wells Street
Chicago, IL 60654

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602