

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Bill Zofakis

DOCKET NO.: 15-38783.001-C-1 PARCEL NO.: 13-01-314-046-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Bill Zofakis, the appellant, by attorney George N. Reveliotis of Reveliotis Law, P.C. in Park Ridge; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>a reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$20,008 IMPR.: \$9,992 TOTAL: \$30,000

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2015 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property is improved with a one-story, masonry constructed, two-unit commercial building with 3,000 square feet of building area. The building was constructed in approximately 1960. The building has a poured concrete slab foundation, a brick exterior, single pane in metal frame windows, two restrooms in each unit, roof mounted combination heating and cooling units and a ceiling height of 10 feet. The subject property has one unit used as a doctor's office and the other unit is used as a chiropractor's office. The property has a 3,557 square foot site and is located in Chicago, Jefferson Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 5-17 commercial building under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted an appraisal estimating the subject property had a market value of \$120,000

as of January 1, 2015. The appraisal was prepared by Shawn Schneider, a certified general real estate appraiser. The purpose of the appraisal was to estimate the market value of the fee simple interest of the subject property. The appraiser determined the highest and best use of the site as vacant was for commercial use. The highest and best use of the subject as improved was to update the building and its continued use as a commercial/office facility.

In estimating the market value of the subject property, the appraiser developed the sales comparison approach to value using six comparable sales, one of which sold twice, improved with one-story office/commercial/retail buildings that ranged in size from 1,800 to 7,500 square feet of building area. The buildings were constructed from 1939 to 1963. The properties were located in Chicago and sold from September 2013 to November 2016 for prices ranging from \$80,000 to \$300,000 or from \$28.67 to \$57.29 per square foot of building area, including land. The appraiser adjusted the comparables for differences from the subject property and arrived at an estimated market value of \$40.00 per square foot of building area, including land, for a total value estimate of \$120,000.

The appellant also submitted a copy of the final decision of the board of review disclosing a total assessment of \$61,297, which reflects a market value of \$245,188 when using the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance level of assessments for class 5-17 property of 25%. The appellant requested the subject's assessment be reduced to reflect the appraised value.

The board of review did not timely submit its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" and evidence in support of its opinion of the correct assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the only evidence of market value timely filed to be the appraisal submitted by the appellant. The appellant's appraiser estimated the subject property had a market value of \$120,000 as of the assessment date at issue. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$245,188, which is above the appraised value presented by the appellant. The board of review did not timely submit its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" and evidence in support of its assessment of the subject property as required by section 1910.40(a) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board and is found to be in default pursuant to section 1910.69(a) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board. (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.40(a) & §1910.69(a)). The Board has examined the information submitted by the appellant and finds that it supports a reduction in the assessed valuation of the subject property.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Ma	us Illorios
	Chairman
21. Fer	C. R.
Member	Member
Robert Stoffen	Dan De Kinie
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	e: December 18, 2018	
	Stee M Wagner	
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board	

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Bill Zofakis, by attorney: George N. Reveliotis Reveliotis Law, P.C. 1030 Higgins Road Suite 101 Park Ridge, IL 60068

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602