



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Betty Roggenkamp  
DOCKET NO.: 15-38068.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 13-13-215-019-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Betty Roggenkamp, the appellant, by attorney Stephanie Park, of Park & Longstreet, P.C. in Rolling Meadows; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds A Reduction in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$7,182  
**IMPR.:** \$31,818  
**TOTAL:** \$39,000

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2015 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with 1,536 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 101 years old. Features of the home include a full finished basement and a two-car detached garage. The property has a 3,420 square foot site and is located in Chicago, Jefferson Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-05 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted three comparables sales located within the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables consist of two-story dwellings that range in age from 92 to 115 years old. The comparables had features with varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject. The dwellings range in size from 1,494 to 1,750 square feet of living area and are

situated on sites ranging in size from 2,750 to 3,600 square feet of land area. The comparables sold from July 2013 to December of 2014 for prices ranging from \$270,270 to \$419,360 or from \$175.96 to \$257.70 per square foot of living area including land.

The appellant's submission also included an appraisal estimating the subject property has a market value of \$390,000 as of May 27, 2015. The appraisal was prepared by Gregory Fialko, a certified residential real estate appraiser. In estimating the market value of the subject property the appraiser developed the sales comparison approach and the cost approach to value.

In estimating the market value of the subject property, the appraiser developed the sales comparison approach to value using six comparables. The comparables consist of two-story dwellings that range in age from 99 to 112 years old. The dwellings are located within .92 of a mile from the subject property and have features with varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject. The dwellings range in size from 1,400 to 1,872 square feet of living area and are situated on sites ranging in size from 3,125 to 5,000 square feet of land area. Five of the comparables sold from August 2014 to May 2015 for prices ranging from \$359,900 to \$471,900 or from \$228.41 to \$278.57 per square foot of living area, including land. Comparable #5 was listed for \$375,000 or \$253.04 per square foot of living area including land. The appraiser made adjustments to each comparable for differences from the subject property to arrive at adjusted prices ranging from \$356,950 to \$427,400. The appraiser arrived at an estimated value under the sales comparison approach of \$390,000.

In estimating the cost approach to value, the appraiser estimated the subject's land value at \$110,000. The appraiser then calculated a replacement cost of \$429,940. The subject was depreciated by \$143,300 for a depreciated improvement value of \$286,640 with "as is" value of site improvements of \$5,000. The land was added back to arrive at an estimate a value for the subject property under the cost approach of \$401,640.

The appellant requested the subject's assessment be reduced to \$27,030.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$47,502. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$475,020 or \$309.26 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the level of assessments for class 2 property of 10% under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance. In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables<sup>1</sup> located within the same neighborhood code as the subject property. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

In written rebuttal, counsel for the appellant argued the board of review's comparables should be given no weight because the comparables lacked sales data.

### **Conclusion of Law**

---

<sup>1</sup> The board of review included a grid analysis of four equity comparables which will not be further addressed on this record as the Board finds equity data is not responsive to the appellant's market value argument.

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the May 27, 2015 appraisal submitted by the appellant, estimating the subject property had a market value of \$390,000. Less weight was given to the sales identified by the appellant as two occurred in 2013, not proximate in time to the assessment date at issue. Less weight was given to the appellant's sale #1 as it appears to be an outlier when compared with the sales in the record. The subject's assessment reflects a market value above the best evidence of market value in the record. Based on this record the Board finds the subject's assessment is not reflective of market value and a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member

Member



Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: June 19, 2018



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Betty Roggenkamp, by attorney:  
Stephanie Park  
Park & Longstreet, P.C.  
2775 Algonquin Road  
Suite 270  
Rolling Meadows, IL 60008

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review  
County Building, Room 601  
118 North Clark Street  
Chicago, IL 60602