



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Christopher Coetzee
DOCKET NO.: 15-37782.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 14-33-300-073-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Christopher Coetzee, the appellant, by attorney Abby L. Strauss of Schiller Strauss & Lavin PC in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$28,296
IMPR.: \$204,895
TOTAL: \$233,191

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2015 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property is improved with a three-story dwelling of masonry construction with 3,812 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 19 years old. Features of the home include a partial finished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a two-car garage. The property has a 3,144 square foot site and is located in Chicago, North Chicago Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-08 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on three equity comparables with the same assigned neighborhood and classification codes as the subject. The appellant did not provide information regarding the comparables' proximity to the subject. The comparables are improved with masonry dwellings that range in age from 13 to 22 years old. The appellant did not provide the comparables' story height; however, based upon the appellant's photographic evidence, the

comparables appear to be either two or three-story in design. The comparables had features of varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject. The appellant's grid analysis indicates the dwellings range in size from 3,826 to 3,910 square feet of living area, and their improvement assessments range from \$117,872 to \$139,788 or from \$30.81 to \$36.52 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment to \$128,503 or \$33.71 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final assessment of \$233,191 was disclosed. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$204,895 or \$53.75 per square foot of living area. The board of review presented descriptions and assessment information on four suggested comparable properties with the same assigned neighborhood and classification codes as the subject. Two of the comparables were described as being located on the same block as the subject, and the other two comparables had street addresses that indicated they were located in the block across the street from the subject property. The comparables are improved with three-story, masonry dwellings that range in age from 13 to 18 years old. The comparables had features of varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject. The board of review's grid analysis indicates the dwellings range in size from 3,984 to 4,513 square feet of living area and their improvement assessments range from \$219,162 to \$252,270 or from \$54.24 to \$56.59 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

The appellant's attorney submitted a rebuttal, wherein counsel noted that board of review comparables #1 and #2 had more living area than the subject.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties presented assessment data on a total of seven suggested comparables. The Board finds board of review comparables #1 and #2 had significantly more living area than the subject and the appellant's comparables were not located in close proximity to the subject property. As a result, these five comparables received reduced weight in the Board's analysis. The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity in the record to be board of review comparables #3 and #4. These properties were located across the street from the subject property and were also very similar in design, exterior construction, age, living area and most features. The board of review comparables #3 and #4 had improvement assessments of \$219,162 and \$230,207 or \$55.01 and \$56.59 per square foot of living area, respectively. The subject's improvement assessment of \$204,895 or \$53.75 per square foot of living area falls below the improvement assessments of the best comparables in this record. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member

Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: April 17, 2018



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Christopher Coetzee, by attorney:
Abby L. Strauss
Schiller Strauss & Lavin PC
33 North Dearborn
Suite 650
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602