

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Phil Tsakournas

DOCKET NO.: 15-37462.001-R-1 through 15-37462.002-R-1

PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Phil Tsakournas, the appellant(s), by attorney George N. Reveliotis, of Reveliotis Law, P.C. in Park Ridge; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO	PARCEL NUMBER	LAND	IMPRVMT	TOTAL
15-37462.001-R-1	17-22-101-043-1092	902	20,870	\$21,772
15-37462.002-R-1	17-22-101-043-1289	139	3,223	\$3,362

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

## **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2015 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a condominium unit and parking unit within a 12-year old, multi-story, 520-unit condominium building. The property is located in West Chicago Township, Cook County and is classified as a class 2-99 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant's appeal is based on overvaluation. In support of the overvaluation argument, the appellant submitted evidence of the sale of 66 units within the subject's building. This units sold from January 2010 to December 2015 for a total amount of \$23,238,876. The appellant argues that the total should be reduced by 10% to account for personal property for an adjusted value of \$20,914,988. The appellant then applies the percentage of ownership of these sales of 30.687% to arrive at a total value of the building of \$68,155,858. The appellant then multiplies the subject's percentage of ownership of for each unit of .259% and .040% to this value to arrive at a

value for the subject units of \$176,524 and \$27,262. The appellant then requests that a 9.4% level of assessment should be applied to this adjusted value.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$25,134. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$217,720 and \$33,620 for each unit when using the level of assessment for class 2 property of 10% under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on 59 sales from units within the subject's building. The units sold from April 2013 to October 2015 for a total amount of \$13,191,395. The board adjusted the sales down by 7% to account for personal property for an adjusted value of \$12,268,045. The board of review then applies the percentage of ownership of these sales of 14.185% to arrive at a total value of the building of \$86,486,041. The board of review also included a supplemental brief that the addresses any recent sale of the subject property.

In rebuttal, the appellant argues that his comparables have a higher percentage of ownership combined than the board of review's comparables.

## **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c).

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the board of review's comparables. These comparables sold from 2013 to 2015 which is in closer proximity to the subject's lien date than the appellant's comparables, many of which sold in 2010, 2011 or 2012. These units sold for a total of \$13,191,395. However, the Board gives no weight to the appellant's or board of review's adjustment for personal property as there is no evidence of this in the record. Therefore, the Board will apply the percentage of ownership of these comparables of 14.185% to the unadjusted total to arrive at a value for the building of \$86,486,041. In applying the subject's percentage of ownerships of .259% and .040%, the Board finds the subject has a value of \$223,999 and \$34,594. The Board finds the appellant incorrectly listed the level of assessment. In applying the correct level of assessment for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10%, the Board finds the appellant failed to show by a preponderance of the evidence that the subject property was overvalued and a reduction is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

, Mai	no Illorias
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Chairman
21. Fe-	R
Member	Member
Robert Stoffen	Dan De Kinie
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

## CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	May 15, 2018
	Star M Wagner
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

Docket No: 15-37462.001-R-1 through 15-37462.002-R-1

## PARTIES OF RECORD

## **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

## **APPELLANT**

Phil Tsakournas, by attorney: George N. Reveliotis Reveliotis Law, P.C. 1030 Higgins Road Suite 101 Park Ridge, IL 60068

## **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602