

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	Paul Hensel
DOCKET NO.:	15-36750.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.:	14-33-303-154-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Paul Hensel, the appellant, by attorney Abby L. Strauss of Schiller Strauss & Lavin PC in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>No Change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$28,125
IMPR.:	\$137,428
TOTAL:	\$165,553

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2015 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property is improved with a two-story dwelling of masonry construction. Based upon photographic evidence presented by the parties, the subject dwelling reflects modern design. The dwelling is approximately 22 years old and has 3,591 square feet of living area. and is approximately 22 years old. Features of the home include a full finished basement, central air conditioning, two fireplaces and a one and one-half car garage. The property has a 3,125 square foot site and is located in Chicago, North Chicago Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-78 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on three equity comparables with the same assigned neighborhood and classification codes as the subject. The appellant did not provide information regarding the comparables' proximity to the subject property. The comparables are

improved with masonry dwellings. The appellant did not provide the comparables' story height; however, the appellant's photographic evidence revealed that the comparables are two-story in design. The dwellings are from 18 to 24 years old. The comparables had features of varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject. The appellant's grid analysis indicates the dwellings range in size from 3,082 to 3,746 square feet of living area, and their improvement assessments range from \$91,200 to \$103,375 or from \$24.35 to \$29.66 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment to \$98,968 or \$27.56 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final assessment of \$165,553 was disclosed. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$137,428 or \$38.27 per square foot of living area. The board of review presented descriptions and assessment information on four suggested comparable properties with the same assigned neighborhood and classification codes as the subject. The comparables are improved with two-story, masonry dwellings. The dwellings are from 21 to 25 years old. The comparables had features of varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject. The board of review's grid analysis indicates the dwellings range in size from 3,040 to 4,170 square feet of living area and their improvement assessments range from \$133,390 to \$227,837 or from \$43.88 to \$58.26 per square foot of living area. The board of review's photographic evidence revealed that comparable #1 reflects modern design and is located next door to the subject. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties presented assessment data on a total of seven suggested comparables. All of the comparables submitted were two-story masonry dwellings that were very similar to the subject in age and exterior construction and were generally similar in location and living area. The Board finds the seven comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$91,200 to \$227,837 or from \$24.35 to \$58.26 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$137,428 or \$38.27 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the comparables submitted for this appeal. The Board takes notice that board of review comparable #1 was located next door to the subject property and was very similar to the subject in modern design. This property had an improvement assessment of \$133,390 or \$43.88 per square foot of living area. Although this property had a concrete slab foundation that was inferior to the subject's full finished basement, board of review comparable #1's improvement assessment on a per square foot basis demonstrates that the subject property is not over-assessed. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant was not able to demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Mano Moios

Chairman

Member

Member

Member

Member

DISSENTING:

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

April 17, 2018

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Paul Hensel, by attorney: Abby L. Strauss Schiller Strauss & Lavin PC 33 North Dearborn Suite 650 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602