

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	Tirell, LLC
DOCKET NO.:	15-36289.001-R-1
PARCEL NO .:	06-23-308-025-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Tirell, LLC, the appellant, by attorney Abby L. Strauss, of Schiller Strauss & Lavin PC in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>No Change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$2,268
IMPR.:	\$9,308
TOTAL:	\$11,576

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2015 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a one-story dwelling of frame construction. The dwelling is approximately 55 years old and has 1,060 square feet of living area. Features of the home include a concrete slab foundation and central air conditioning. The property has an 8,250 square foot site and is located in Streamwood, Hanover Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-03 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant's appeal is based on overvaluation. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted evidence disclosing the subject property was purchased on February 28, 2013 for a price of \$74,900 or \$70.66 per square foot of living area, land included. In Section IV – Recent Sale Data of the residential appeal form, the appellant stated the property was purchased from the Federal National Mortgage Association (Fannie Mae); the parties to the transaction were not

related; the property was sold using a realtor; and the property had been advertised for sale with a multiple listing service (MLS). The appellant did not answer the question that asked how long the subject had been exposed to the market. To document the transaction, the appellant submitted copies of the settlement statement, the sale contract, and the City of Chicago Real Property Transfer Tax Declaration. The settlement statement revealed that commissions had been paid to realty firms, and the transfer declaration disclosed the subject was a bank-owned property that had been advertised for sale. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment to reflect the purchase price.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$11,576. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$113,937 or \$107.49 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2015 threeyear average median level of assessment for class 2 property of 10.16% under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales that sold from February to November 2015 for prices that ranged from \$150,000 to \$167,000 or from \$140.98 to \$156.95 per square foot of living area, land included. The comparables have the same assigned neighborhood and classification codes as the subject. Their sites range from 6,600 to 7,985 square feet of land area. The comparables are improved with one-story dwellings of frame construction. The dwellings range in age from 43 to 49 years old and contain 1,064 or 1,104 square feet of living area. Three comparables have concrete slab foundations, and another comparable has a full unfinished basement. Each comparable has a garage, either one-car or two-car; two comparables have central air conditioning; and one comparable has a fireplace. As part of the submission, the board of review submitted a supplemental brief that was written for appeals involving compulsory sales, special warranty deeds, guitclaim deeds, estate sales or non-arm's length transactions. As documentation, the analyst submitted a copy of the subject property's deed history from the Cook County Recorder of Deeds' website. The deed history revealed the subject's sale was compulsory due to a foreclosure. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

The appellant submitted a rebuttal brief, in which counsel asserted numerous reasons for objecting to the board of review's evidence, some of which were not relevant to this appeal.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The Board gave little weight to the subject's sale because it did not occur proximate in time to the assessment date at issue. The subject sold on February 28, 2013, which was over 22 months prior to the January 1, 2015 assessment date. Moreover, in Section IV of the appeal form, the appellant did not provide any evidence regarding market exposure, which detracts from the weight of the evidence.

The Board finds the board of review presented evidence to challenge the arm's length nature of the transaction and the board of review was able to overcome the appellant's contention that the subject's sale price was reflective of market value. The board of review presented four comparable sales that sold from February to November 2015 for prices that ranged from \$140.98 to \$156.95 per square foot of living area, land included. The Board finds these sale dates were more proximate to the January 1, 2015 assessment date than the subject's February 2013 sale. Moreover, the board of review comparables were very similar to the subject in location, design, exterior construction, age, living area. Although there were some differences in features, three of the board of review comparables had concrete slab foundations like the subject. The Board finds the subject's assessment has a market value of \$113,937 or \$107.49 per square foot of living area, land included, which is supported by the comparable sales submitted by the board of review. Based on the evidence in the record, the Board finds that a reduction in the subject's assessment is not appropriate.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Mano Moios

Chairman

Member

Member

Member

Member

DISSENTING:

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

June 19, 2018

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Tirell, LLC, by attorney: Abby L. Strauss Schiller Strauss & Lavin PC 33 North Dearborn Suite 650 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602