

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Jimmie Barreto
DOCKET NO.: 15-35715.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 13-05-104-050-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Jimmie Barreto, the appellant, by attorney Stephanie Park, of Park & Longstreet, P.C. in Rolling Meadows; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>A Reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$6,300 **IMPR.:** \$15,100 **TOTAL:** \$21,400

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2015 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a one-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 1,070 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 59 years old. Features of the home include a full finished basement, central air conditioning and a 1.5-car detached garage. The property has a 4,500 square foot site and is located in Chicago, Jefferson Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-03 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity and overvaluation as the bases of the appeal. In support of the inequity argument the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables located within the same neighborhood assessment code as the subject property. The comparables consist of one-story dwellings that range in age from 55 to 60 years old. The comparables had features with varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject. The dwellings range

in size from 1,093 to 1,120 square feet of living area and have improvement assessments ranging from \$17,212 to \$18,084 or from \$15.42 to \$16.53 per square foot of living area.

In support of the overvaluation argument, the appellant submitted an appraisal estimating the subject property had a market value of \$220,000 as of June 16, 2012. The appraisal was prepared by Boris Masarsky, a certified residential real estate appraiser. In estimating the market value of the subject property, the appraiser developed the cost approach and sales comparison approach to value.

The appellant also submitted four comparable sales located within the same neighborhood assessment code as the subject property. The comparables consist of one-story dwellings that range in age from 57 to 61 years old. The comparables had features with varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject. The dwellings range in size from 1,025 to 1,120 square feet of living area and are situated on sites ranging in size from 3,250 to 4,687 square feet of land area. The comparables sold from August 2012 to September 2015 for prices ranging from \$172,000 to \$225,000 or from \$160.75 to \$200.89 per square foot of living area including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the total assessment be reduced to \$17,200.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$28,006. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$280,060 or \$261.74 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the level of assessments for class 2 property of 10% under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$21,706 or \$20.29 per square foot of living area.

In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review submitted information on two equity comparables and one comparable sale¹ located within the same neighborhood assessment code as the subject property. Comparables #1 and #3 each contain 1,070 square feet of living area and have improvement assessments of \$22,284 and \$23,105 or \$20.83 and \$21.59 per square foot of living area. Comparable #2 sold in August of 2013 for a price of \$172,000 or \$160.75 per square foot of living area including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

In written rebuttal, counsel for the appellant argued the board of review's comparables should be given no weight because they lacked sales data.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends in part the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted on this basis.

¹ The appellant's comparable sale #1 and the board of review's comparable #2 appear to depict the same property.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value in the record to be the appellant's sale comparables #3 and #4. These properties sold proximate in time to the January 1, 2015 assessment date at issue and were similar to the subject in location, age, size, dwelling design, exterior construction and other features. The comparables sold for prices of \$215,000 and \$225,000 or \$197.07 and \$200.89 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's total assessment reflects a market value of \$280,060 or \$261.74 per square foot of living area, including land which falls above the best comparables established in this record. The Board finds the subject's 2012 appraisal to be dated, because it did not occur proximate to the assessment date at issue. The Board also gave less weight to the appellant's comparables #1 and #2 and the board of review's comparable #2 due to their 2012 and 2013 sale dates, which are less proximate in time to the January 1, 2015 assessment date. Based on this record the Board finds the subject's assessment is not reflective of market value and a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified on this basis.

The taxpayer also contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted on this basis.

The parties submitted six equity comparables for the Board consideration. After considering the subject's assessment reduction granted based on the appellant's overvaluation claim, the Board finds no further reduction is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Mairo Illorios	
	Chairman
	a R
Member	Member
asort Stoffen	Dan De Kinin
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: June 19, 2018

Sun Mhygner

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Jimmie Barreto, by attorney: Stephanie Park Park & Longstreet, P.C. 2775 Algonquin Road Suite 270 Rolling Meadows, IL 60008

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602