



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Jose Renteria Mendez
DOCKET NO.: 15-35673.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 32-19-421-003-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Jose Renteria Mendez, the appellant, by Jessica Hill-Magiera, Attorney at Law in Lake Zurich; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds A Reduction in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$1,230
IMPR.: \$1,147
TOTAL: \$2,377

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2015 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject parcel is improved with a two-story multi-family masonry dwelling. The dwelling is 52 years old and contains 2,377 square feet of living area. Features include a full unfinished basement. The site contains 4,920 square feet of land area. It is located in neighborhood 84 in Chicago Heights, Bloom Township, Cook County and is classified as a class 2-11 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant completed Section IV - Recent Sale Data of the appeal indicating the subject was purchased on April 8, 2013 for \$17,000. The purchase was made through a realtor, from the owner of record, and was not between family or related corporations. The property was advertised for sale through the Multiple Listing Service and was on the market 57 days.

The appellant also submitted information on three comparable properties. Appellant's comparable #1 was the subject property. They are described as two-story multi-family dwellings built in 1963 or 1966 containing 2,182 or 2,366 square feet of living area. They had features with varying degrees of similarity to the subject. The comparables are located in neighborhood code 84 within .08 of a mile from the subject. They sold from May 2013 to November 2015 for prices ranging from \$16,000 to \$30,000 or from \$7.33 to \$12.68 per square foot of living area including land. The appellant did not report information on classification codes, exterior construction or land sizes for the comparables. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the total assessment be reduced to \$1,700 or a market value of approximately \$17,000 or \$7.15 per square foot of living area including land when applying the Cook County Real Property Assessment Ordinance level of assessment for class 2 property.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$10,215. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of approximately \$102,150 or \$42.97 per square foot of living area, land included.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on three equity comparables, none of which had recently sold. They had varying degrees of similarity as compared to the subject. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

In written rebuttal, counsel for the appellant asserted the appellant's comparables are better than the board of review comparables since none of the board of review comparables had recently sold.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the evidence in the record supports a reduction in the subject's assessment.

With regard to the recent sale argument, the Board gave less weight to the 2013 sale since it was dated and less indicative of market value as of the subject's assessment date of January 1, 2015. The Board also gave less weight to appellant's comparables #2 and #4 since those sales also occurred in 2013. The Board gave no weight to the board of review comparables since the basis of the appeal was overvaluation and none of the board of review comparables were sales. The Board also gave no weight to appellant's comparable #1 since it was the subject. Despite its lack of a basement, the Board finds the best evidence of market value in this record to be appellant's comparable #3. This comparable sold in November 2015 for \$16,000 or \$7.33 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of approximately \$102,150 or \$42.97 per square foot of living area, land included, which is not supported by the comparables in the record. The Board finds the evidence in the record justifies a reduction in the subject's assessment.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member

Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: June 19, 2018



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Jose Renteria Mendez, by attorney:
Jessica Hill-Magiera
Attorney at Law
790 Harvest Drive
Lake Zurich, IL 60047

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602