

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Keith Dorman
DOCKET NO.: 15-34977.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 14-32-213-041-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Keith Dorman, the appellant(s), by attorney John P. Brady, of Thomas M. Tully & Associates in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$ 27,900 **IMPR.:** \$ 71,514 **TOTAL:** \$ 99,414

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

## **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2015 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board (the "Board") finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

# **Findings of Fact**

The subject consists of two improvements. Improvement #1 is a two-story dwelling of masonry construction with 2,206 square feet of living area. Improvement #1 is 132 years old. Features of Improvement #1 include a full basement with a formal recreation room and central air conditioning. Improvement #2 is a one-story dwelling of masonry construction with 485 square feet of living area. Improvement #2 is 25 years old. Features of Improvement #2 include full unfinished basement. The property has a 3,100 square foot site, and is located in Chicago, North Chicago Township, Cook County. Improvement #1 and Improvement #2 are both classified as class 2-06 and 2-02 property, respectively, under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance. No evidence was submitted as to whether the subject was owner occupied.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on seven equity comparables for Improvement #1. No evidence was submitted regarding Improvement #2.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$99,414. Improvement #1 has an improvement assessment of \$56,516, or \$25.62 per square foot of living area. Improvement #2 has an improvement assessment of \$14,998, or \$30.92 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables and one sale comparable for Improvement #1, and three equity comparables and two sale comparables for Improvement #2.

At hearing, both parties reaffirmed the evidence previously submitted.

# **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity for Improvement #1 to be appellant comparables #3 and #7, and board of review comparables #3 and #4. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$25.27 to \$43.22 per square foot of living area. Improvement #1's improvement assessment of \$25.62 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that Improvement #1 was inequitably assessed, and a reduction in Improvement #1's improvement assessment is not justified.

The appellant did not submit any evidence challenging the assessment for Improvement #2. Therefore, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that Improvement #2 was inequitably assessed, and a reduction in Improvement #2's improvement assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

| Chairman             |              |
|----------------------|--------------|
| 21. Fami             | a R          |
| Member               | Member       |
| Sovet Stoffen        | Dan De Kinin |
| Member               | Member       |
| DISSENTING:          |              |
| <u>CERTIFICATION</u> |              |

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: August 20, 2019

Mauro Morion

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

## **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

## **APPELLANT**

Keith Dorman, by attorney: John P. Brady Thomas M. Tully & Associates 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 2450 Chicago, IL 60602

## **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602