

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:Martin LanoffDOCKET NO.:15-33122.001-R-1 through 15-33122.002-R-1PARCEL NO.:See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Martin Lanoff, the appellant, by attorney Timothy E. Moran, of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>No Change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO	PARCEL NUMBER	LAND	IMPRVMT	TOTAL
15-33122.001-R-1	10-33-410-033-0000	1,633	15,965	\$17,598
15-33122.002-R-1	10-33-410-035-0000	6,534	63,861	\$70,395

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2015 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of masonry construction situated on two parcels. The dwelling is 73 years old and contains 4,510 square feet of living area. Features include a full finished basement, central air conditioning, two fireplaces and a 2-car garage. The property is located in neighborhood code 102 in Lincolnwood, Niles Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-06 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on five equity comparables. They are described as two-story class 2-06 dwellings of frame and/or masonry construction. The comparables have features with varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject. Four have basements, one with finished area, and one is on a slab foundation. The dwellings range age from 63 to 73

years old and range in size from 4,171 to 4,357 square feet of living area. The comparables are located in neighborhood codes 101, 102 and 103. No more specific information was provided with regard to the comparables distances from the subject. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$55,521 to \$69,461 or from \$12.76 to \$16.39 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence the appellant requested the total assessment be reduced to \$68,772.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$87,993. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$79,826 or \$17.70 per square foot of living area.¹ In support of this argument the board of review submitted information on three equity comparables described as two-story class 2-06 dwellings of masonry construction. The comparables have features with varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject. They have full basements, two with finished areas. The dwellings range in size from 3,958 to 4,996 square feet of living area and range in age from 63 to 72 years old. They are located in neighborhood code 102. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$70,025 to \$88,437 or from \$17.69 to \$18.91 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted eight equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparables and board of review comparable #3 based on their different neighborhood codes than the subject and/or unfinished basements or slab foundations as compared to the subject's finished basement. The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity in the record to be board of review comparables #1 and #2. These comparables were most similar to the subject in location, age, style, exterior construction, dwelling size and features. They had improvement assessments of \$17.69 and \$18.91 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$17.70 per square foot of living area is supported by these similar comparables. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

¹ Including improvements on both parcels.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Mano Moios Chairman Member Member Member Member DISSENTING:

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

May 15, 2018

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Martin Lanoff, by attorney: Timothy E. Moran Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. 111 West Washington Street Suite 1300 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602