



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Kantipur Group, LLC
DOCKET NO.: 15-32522.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 16-01-215-036-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Kantipur Group, LLC, the appellant, by attorney Timothy E. Moran, of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$6,131
IMPR.: \$35,901
TOTAL: \$42,032

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2015 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a three-story mixed-use building of masonr exterior construction with 3,662 square feet of building area. The building is approximately 124 years old. Features of the building include a partial unfinished basement and a 1.5-car garage. The property has a 2,725 square foot site and is located in Chicago, West Chicago Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-12 mixed-use property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends improvement assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. The subject's land assessment was not contested. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on five equity comparables located within the same neighborhood code as the subject property. One comparable is located on the subject's same street. The comparables were improved with three, two-story buildings and two, three-story mixed-use buildings of frame or

masonry exterior construction containing from 3,402 to 3,538 square feet of building area. The comparables range in age from 104 to 132 years old. Four comparables have partial or full unfinished basements. Other features had varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject. The comparables had improvement assessments ranging from \$25,275 to \$29,736 or from \$7.43 to \$8.40 per square foot of building area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the improvement assessment be reduced to \$28,618 or \$7.81 per square foot of building area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$42,032. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$35,901 or \$9.80 per square foot of building area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located within the same neighborhood code, same block, same street and/or .25 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables were improved with two, two-story buildings and two, three-story mixed-use buildings of masonry exterior construction containing from 2,872 to 3,834 square foot of building area. The comparables range in age from 104 to 124 years old and have partial unfinished basements. Other features had varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject. The comparables had improvement assessments ranging from \$33,086 to \$68,408 or from \$11.05 to \$17.84 per square foot of building area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested that the assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted nine suggested comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables #1 and #5 along with the board of review comparables #1 and #2. These comparables are most similar to the subject in location, design, exterior construction, age, building size, foundation and features. In addition, the board of review comparable #1 is located on the same block and same street as the subject property and is identical to the subject in design, exterior construction, age and foundation. The comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$25,275 to \$68,408 or from \$7.43 to \$17.84 per square foot of building area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$35,901 or \$9.80 per square foot of building area is within the range established by the most similar comparables contained in this record. The Board gave less weight to the remaining comparables due to their different designs, considerably smaller building sizes and/or differences in foundations when compared to the subject property. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is supported. Based on this record the Board finds the

appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: May 15, 2018



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Kantipur Group, LLC, by attorney:
Timothy E. Moran
Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd.
111 West Washington Street
Suite 1300
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602