

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Joseph Graves
DOCKET NO.: 15-30954.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 17-04-220-064-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Joseph Graves, the appellant, by attorney Timothy E. Moran, of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$24,055 **IMPR.:** \$77,025 **TOTAL:** \$101,080

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2015 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with 2,668 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 12 years old. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning and a two-car detached garage. The property has a 2,830-square foot site and is located in Chicago, North Chicago Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-78 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity and overvaluation as the bases of the appeal. In support of the inequity argument the appellant submitted information on five equity comparables. One comparable is located within the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables consist of two-story dwellings that are 12 and 25 years old. The comparables had features with varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject. The

dwellings contain 2,070 and 2,524 square feet of living area and have improvement assessments ranging from \$43,108 to \$70,089 or from \$20.83 to \$27.77 per square foot of living area.

In support of the overvaluation argument, the appellant disclosed the subject was purchased on August 1, 2013 for a price of \$760,000. To document the sale, the appellant submitted a copy of the Settlement Statement which revealed the amount of Broker's Fees paid at settlement. The appellant also submitted a copy of the sales contract dated May 2013. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the total assessment be reduced to \$76,000.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$101,080. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$1,010,800 or \$378.86 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the level of assessments for class 2 property of 10% under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$77,025 or \$28.87 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located within the same neighborhood assessment code as the subject property. The comparables consist of two-story dwellings that range in age from 12 to 25 years old. The comparables had varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject. The dwellings range in size from 2,226 to 2,516 square feet of living area and are situated on sites ranging in size from 2,550 to 3,100 square feet of land area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$66,838 to \$80,318 or from \$29.06 to \$33.68 per square foot of living area.

The board of review also submitted three comparable sales. Two comparables are located within the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables consist of two-story dwellings that range in age from 4 to 19 years old. The comparables had features with varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject. The dwellings range in size from 2,126 to 2,696 square feet of living area and are situated on sites ranging in size from 2,928 to 3,672 square feet of land area. The comparables sold from April to October of 2013 for prices ranging from \$975,000 to \$1,550,000 or from \$458.61 to \$574.93 per square foot of living area including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

# **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends in part the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted on this basis.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the board of review's comparables #1 and #2. These properties more sold proximate in time to the January 1, 2015 assessment date at

issue and were similar to the subject in location, age, size, dwelling design and other features. The comparables sold for prices ranging of \$975,000 and \$1,327,000 or \$458.61 and \$554.30 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's total assessment reflects a market value of \$1,010,800 or \$378.86 per square foot of living area including land, which falls between the best comparables established in this record on a total market value basis and below on a per square foot basis. The Board gave little weight to the subject's August 2013 sale date. The Board finds that the appellant failed to disclose if the property was advertised for sale, the manner on how it was advertised and the length of time on the market, which are important elements when demonstrating the sale had the elements of an arm's length transaction. Additionally, the Board gave less weight to the board of review's sale #3 due to its distant location when compared to the subject property. Based on this record the Board finds the subject's assessment is reflective of market value and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified on this basis.

The taxpayer also contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted on this basis.

The parties submitted nine equity comparables for the Board consideration. The Board finds the appellant's comparable #5 and the board of review's comparables are more similar when compared to the subject in location, age, size, dwelling design and other features. These comparables had improvement assessments ranging from \$27.77 to \$33.68 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$28.87 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the comparables in this record. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparables #1 through #4 due to their distant location when compared to the subject property. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified on this basis.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

| Man            | Horiso       |
|----------------|--------------|
|                | Chairman     |
|                | a R          |
| Member         | Member       |
| assert Stoffen | Dan De Kinin |
| Member         | Member       |
| DISSENTING:    |              |

## CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

| Date: | June 19, 2018                          |  |
|-------|--|--|
|       | Stee M Wagner                          |  |
|       | Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board |  |

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

## PARTIES OF RECORD

## **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

## **APPELLANT**

Joseph Graves, by attorney: Timothy E. Moran Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. 111 West Washington Street Suite 1300 Chicago, IL 60602

## **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602