

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Tirell, LLC

DOCKET NO.: 15-30498.001-R-1 through 15-30498.002-R-1

PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Tirell, LLC, the appellant, by attorney Abby L. Strauss of Schiller Strauss & Lavin PC in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO	PARCEL NUMBER	LAND	IMPRVMT	TOTAL
15-30498.001-R-1	12-21-303-021-0000	1,550	5,012	\$6,562
15-30498.002-R-1	12-21-303-022-0000	1,550	5,012	\$6,562

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2015 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of two parcels that are improved with a one-story dwelling of frame construction. The dwelling is approximately 60 years old and has 870 square feet of living area. Features of the home include a partial unfinished basement, central air conditioning and a two and one-half car garage. The property's two parcels have a combined 6,200 square foot site and are located in Franklin Park, Leyden Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-02 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant's appeal is based on overvaluation. In support of this argument the appellant submitted evidence disclosing the subject property was purchased on January 29, 2013, for a price of \$85,000. In Section IV – Recent Sale Data of the residential appeal form, the appellant stated the seller was a financial entity; the parties to the transaction were not related; the property was sold using a realtor; the property had been advertised for sale using the multiple listing service; and the property sold in settlement of a contract for deed. The appellant did not answer

the question that asked how long the subject had been exposed to the market. To document the transaction, the appellant submitted copies of the settlement statement and the sales contract. The settlement statement disclosed that commissions had been paid to three realty firms. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment to reflect the purchase price.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the combined total assessment for the subject of \$13,124. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$129,173 or \$148.47 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2015 three-year average median level of assessment for class 2 property of 10.16% under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales that sold from January to November 2015 for prices that ranged from \$114,000 to \$160,000 or from \$150.92 to \$188.90 per square foot of living area, land included. The comparables have the same assigned neighborhood and classification codes as the subject. Their sites range from 4,650 to 4,960 square feet. The comparables are improved with one-story dwellings of masonry or frame and masonry construction. The dwellings range in age from 58 to 68 years old and contain from 721 to 974 square feet of living area. Each comparable has a basement and a garage, and one comparable has central air conditioning. The board of review also submitted a supplemental brief prepared by a board of review analyst. In the brief, the analyst stated the subject's sale was compulsory due to foreclosure. On the basis of this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

The appellant's attorney submitted a rebuttal, wherein counsel stated that the board of review's comparable sales were not verified.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The Board gave little weight to the subject's sale due to the fact the sale did not occur proximate in time to the assessment date at issue. The subject sold on January 29, 2013, which was nearly two years prior to the January 1, 2015 assessment date. The Board finds the best evidence of market value in the record to be the comparable sales submitted by the board of review. These properties sold more proximate to the assessment date and were also similar to the subject in location, design, age and living area. The comparables sold from January to November 2015 for prices that ranged from \$150.92 to \$188.90 per square foot of living area, land included. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$148.47 per square foot of living area, including land, which is below the range established by the best comparable sales in this record. Based on this record, the Board finds the subject's assessment is reflective of market value and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

, Mauro	Horios
	Chairman
21. Fe-	C. R.
Member	Member
assert Stoffen	Dan De Kinin
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: April 17, 2018

Star M Magna

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Tirell, LLC, by attorney: Abby L. Strauss Schiller Strauss & Lavin PC 33 North Dearborn Suite 650 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602