

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Linjun Shen DOCKET NO.: 15-28926.001-R-1

PARCEL NO.: 04-09-303-032-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Linjun Shen, the appellant, by attorney Timothy E. Moran, of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$13,779 **IMPR.:** \$59,219 **TOTAL:** \$72,998

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2015 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 3,453 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 10 years old. Features of the home include a full basement with finished area, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 3.5-car garage. The property has a 14,505 square foot site and is located in Northbrook, Northfield Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-78 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. The subject's land assessment was not contested. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on five equity comparables located within the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables were improved with two-story frame and masonry dwellings that ranged in size from 3,381 to 3,473 square feet of living area. The dwellings are from 42 to 52 years old. Three

comparables have partial or full basements two of which have finished areas. Other features had varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject property. The comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$35,390 to \$37,623 or from \$10.19 to \$11.01 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the improvement assessment be reduced to \$36,532 or \$10.58 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$72,998. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$59,219 or \$17.15 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on three equity comparables located within the same neighborhood code and .25 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables were improved with two-story dwellings of masonry exterior construction that range in size from 2,966 to 3,106 square feet of living area. The dwellings are each 10 years old and have full basements one of which has finished area. Other features had varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject property. The comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$57,477 to \$60,910 or from \$19.36 to \$20.13 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested that the assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted eight suggested comparables for the Board's consideration. The subject is superior to both parties comparables with its considerably newer age when compared to the appellant's comparables and its larger dwelling size when compared to the board of review comparables. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparables due to their considerably older age and/or differences in foundations when compared to the subject.

Although the Board recognizes that the board of review comparables are slightly smaller in dwelling size than the subject property, the Board finds that they are the best evidence of assessment equity. These comparables are identical in age and most similar to the subject in location, design, exterior construction, foundation and features. These comparables had improvement assessments ranging from \$57,477 to \$60,910 or from \$19.36 to \$20.13 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$59,219 or \$17.15 per square foot of living area is within the range as to the improvement assessment and below the range on a persquare-foot basis. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Mauro Illorios	
	Chairman
21. Fer	a R
Member	Member
assert Stoffen	Dan Dikini
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: May 15, 2018

Star Mulynn

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Linjun Shen, by attorney: Timothy E. Moran Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. 111 West Washington Street Suite 1300 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602