

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:Natasha PeresypkinaDOCKET NO.:15-28917.001-R-1 through 15-28917.002-R-1PARCEL NO.:See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Natasha Peresypkina, the appellant, by attorney Timothy E. Moran, of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>No Change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO	PARCEL NUMBER	LAND	IMPRVMT	TOTAL
15-28917.001-R-1	04-08-402-028-0000	4,560	34,398	\$38,958
15-28917.002-R-1	04-08-402-029-0000	1,140	3,822	\$4,962

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2015 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of two parcels that are improved with a two-story dwelling of frame and masonry construction. The dwelling is approximately 38 years old and has 2,848 square feet of living area. Features of the home include a partial unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a two-car garage. The property's two parcels have a combined 6,000 square foot site and are located in Northbrook, Northfield Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-78 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends improvement assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. The subject's land assessment was not contested. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on five equity comparable properties located within the same neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of

frame or frame and masonry exterior construction that ranged in size from 2,760 to 2,842 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 45 to 59 years old. Four comparables have partial or full basements one of which has a finished area. Other features had varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject. The comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$29,127 to \$30,554 or from \$10.25 to \$10.77 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the improvement assessments be reduced to \$27,144 for PIN 04-08-402-028-0000 and \$3,016 for PIN 04-08-402-029-0000. The appellant's requested combined improvement assessment reduction for both parcels is \$30,160 or \$10.59 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the subject's total assessment of \$38,958 for PIN #04-08-402-028-0000 and \$4,962 for PIN #04-08-402-09-0000 with a combined total assessment of \$43,920 for both parcels. The subject property's two parcels have a combined improvement assessment of \$38,220 or \$13.42 per square foot of living area.¹ In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on three equity comparables located within the same neighborhood code, and same block as the subject property. The comparables were improved with two-story dwellings of frame and masonry exterior construction that range in size from 2,355 to 2,848 square feet of living area. The dwellings are either 34 or 38 years old and have full basements one of which has finished area. The comparables have other similar features when compared to the subject property. The comparables have other similar features when compared to the subject property. The comparables have other similar features when compared to the subject property. The comparables have other similar features when compared to the subject property. The comparables have other similar features when compared to the subject property. The comparables have other similar features when compared to the subject property. The comparables have other similar features when compared to the subject property. The comparables have other similar features when compared to the subject property. The comparables have other similar features when compared to the subject property. The comparables have other similar features when compared to the subject property. The comparables have other similar features when compared to the subject property. The comparables have other similar features when compared to the subject property. The comparables have other similar features when compared to the subject property. The comparables have other similar features when compared to the subject property. The comparables have other simil

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the board of review comparables because they are located within the same neighborhood code, block and street as the subject property. These comparables are nearly identical to the subject's property characteristics. These comparables had improvement assessments ranging from \$35,231 to \$43,193 or from \$14.72 to \$15.67 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$38,220 or \$13.42 per square foot of living area is within the range established by the best comparables contained in this record with its improvement assessment and below on a per-square-foot basis.

¹ The board of review submitted a separate "Board of Review – Notes on Appeal" for each of the two parcels and incorrectly calculated the per square foot of living area for each of the two parcels' improvement assessment. The Board finds the combined improvement assessments and per square foot of living area for the two parcels are correctly presented in the appellant's grid analysis and supplemental "BASIS OF BRIEF."

The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparables due to their differences in location, older ages and/or dissimilar foundations. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is supported. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Mano Moios Chairman Member Member Member Member DISSENTING:

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

May 15, 2018

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Natasha Peresypkina, by attorney: Timothy E. Moran Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. 111 West Washington Street Suite 1300 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602