



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Jatin Patel
DOCKET NO.: 15-27023.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 27-18-208-003-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Jatin Patel, the appellant, by attorney Joanne Elliott, of Elliott & Associates, P.C. in Des Plaines; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **A Reduction** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$7,180
IMPR.: \$43,820
TOTAL: \$51,000

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2015 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of masonry construction. The dwelling is approximately 15 years old and has 5,214 square feet of living area. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a three and one-half car garage. The property has a 14,361 square foot site and is located in Orland Park, Orland Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-09 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant's appeal is based on overvaluation. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted evidence disclosing the subject property was purchased on June 11, 2015, for a price of \$510,000. In Section IV – Recent Sale Data of the residential appeal form, the appellant stated the property was purchased from an individual; the parties to the transaction were not related; the property was sold using a realtor; the property had been advertised for sale with a

multiple listing service (MLS); and the subject was on the market for 343 days prior to its sale. To document the transaction, the appellant submitted copies of the settlement statement, the sale contract, and the MLS data sheet. The settlement statement revealed that commissions had been paid to two realty firms, and the MLS data sheet disclosed that the property was first listed for sale on March 3, 2014 at a price of \$679,000 but did not sell. After 343 days on the market, the property sold in June 2015 at a price of \$510,000. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment to reflect the purchase price.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$59,300. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$583,661 or \$111.94 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2015 three-year average median level of assessment for class 2 property of 10.16% under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales that sold from March 2014 to December 2015 for prices that ranged from \$610,000 to \$1,300,000 or from \$111.95 to \$247.15 per square foot of living area, land included. The comparables have the same assigned neighborhood and classification codes as the subject. Their sites range from 32,931 to 38,699 square feet of land area. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of masonry construction. The dwellings range in age from 13 to 22 years old and contain from 5,171 to 5,489 square feet of living area. The comparables have features with varying degrees of similarity to the subject. As part of its submission, the board of review also made reference to the June 2015 sale of the subject property at a price of \$510,000 or for \$97.81 per square foot of living area, land included. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

The appellant submitted a rebuttal brief noting in part that the board of review did not dispute the arm's length sale transaction of the subject property.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the purchase of the subject property in June 2015 for a price of \$510,000. The appellant provided ample evidence demonstrating the sale had the elements of an arm's length transaction. The appellant completed Section IV - Recent Sale Data of the residential appeal form disclosing the parties to the transaction were not related, the property was sold using a realtor, and the property had been advertised on the open market with a multiple listing service. The Board finds the purchase price is below the market value reflected by the assessment.

The Board finds the board of review did not present any evidence to challenge the arm's length nature of the transaction and was not able to overcome the appellant's contention that the purchase price was reflective of market value. The board of review presented four comparable sales that sold from March 2014 to December 2015 for prices that ranged from \$610,000 to \$1,300,000 or from \$111.95 to \$247.15 per square foot of living area, land included. Nevertheless, the Board finds the subject's listing history more persuasive than the board of review market evidence. The subject property was first listed for sale on March 3, 2014 at a price of \$679,000 but did not sell. After 343 days on the market, the property sold in June 2015 at a price of \$510,000. The Board finds the subject property had a market value of \$510,000 as of the January 1, 2015 assessment date.

The Board finds that a reduction in the subject's assessment commensurate with the appellant's request is appropriate.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: _____

May 15, 2018



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Jatin Patel, by attorney:
Joanne Elliott
Elliott & Associates, P.C.
1430 Lee Street
Des Plaines, IL 60018

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602