

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Herb Ruterschmidt DOCKET NO.: 15-25098.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 14-30-211-030-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Herb Ruterschmidt, the appellant, by attorney Joanne Elliott, of Elliott & Associates, P.C. in Des Plaines; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$15,625 **IMPR.:** \$30,786 **TOTAL:** \$46,411

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2015 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property is improved with a two-story, multi-family dwelling of frame construction. The dwelling is approximately 127 years old and has 2,100 square feet of living area. Features include two apartment units, a full finished basement and a two-car detached garage. The property has a 3,125 square foot site and is located in Chicago, Lake View Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-11 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on three equity comparables with the same assigned neighborhood and classification codes as the subject. The comparables are improved with two-story, multi-family dwellings of frame construction. The dwellings are 127 and 132 years old. Each comparable has two apartment units; two comparables have concrete slab foundations and a one-car garage; and another comparable has a crawl-space foundation but no

garage. The appellant's grid analysis indicates the dwellings range in size from 2,000 to 2,120 square feet of living area, and their improvement assessments range from \$21,819 to \$23,076 or from \$10.62 to \$10.93 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment to \$19,375 or \$9.23 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final assessment of \$46,411 was disclosed. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$30,786 or \$14.66 per square foot of living area. The board of review presented descriptions and assessment information on four suggested comparable properties with the same assigned neighborhood and classification codes as the subject. The comparables were described as being located one-quarter mile from the subject property. The comparables are improved with one and one-half story, two-story or three-story, multi-family dwellings of masonry, frame, frame and masonry construction. The dwellings are either 119 or 122 years old. Three comparables have full basements, one of which is finished for an apartment; two comparables have central air conditioning; one comparable has a fireplace; and three comparables have a two-car garage. The board of review did not provide information on the number of apartment units. The board of review's grid analysis indicates the dwellings range in size from 1,944 to 2,466 square feet of living area and their improvement assessments range from \$35,613 to \$45,067 or from \$16.64 to \$19.87 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

The appellant's attorney submitted a rebuttal brief.

#### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties presented assessment data on a total of seven suggested comparables. The Board finds the appellant's comparables and board of review comparable #3 had foundations that were dissimilar from the subject's full finished basement. As a result, these comparables received reduced weight in the Board's analysis. The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be board of review comparables #1, #2 and #4. The Board finds these comparables had full basements like the subject and were also very similar in location, age and living area. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$16.64 to \$19.87 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$14.66 per square foot of living area falls below the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Mauro Illorios	
	Chairman
21. Fer	a R
Member	Member
assert Stoffen	Dan Dikini
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

# CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: May 15, 2018

Star Mulynn

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

# **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

# **APPELLANT**

Herb Ruterschmidt, by attorney: Joanne Elliott Elliott & Associates, P.C. 1430 Lee Street Des Plaines, IL 60018

# **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602