

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Anthony Karras
DOCKET NO.: 15-24378.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 09-23-306-017-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Anthony Karras, the appellant(s), by attorney George N. Reveliotis, of Reveliotis Law, P.C. in Park Ridge; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>A Reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 4,322 **IMPR.:** \$ 17,059 **TOTAL:** \$ 21,381

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2015 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board (the "Board") finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject consists of a multi-level dwelling of masonry construction with 1,215 feet of living area. The dwelling is 52 years old. Features of the home include a partial basement with a formal recreation room, central air conditioning, and a two-car garage. The property has a 6,650 square foot site, and is located in Niles, Maine Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-34 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance. No evidence was submitted as to whether the subject was owner-occupied.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables. The decision letter from the board of review states that the subject's total assessment is \$21,815. The printout from

Docket No: 15-24378.001-R-1

the Cook County Assessor's website states that the subject's improvement assessment is \$17,493, which equates to \$14.40 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal," which identifies the subject property as having the PIN 09-22-302-046-0000. The Board notes that the property with this PIN is not the subject property. The descriptive and assessment information provided by the board of review does not reflect the same information for the subject property as submitted by the appellant.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on three equity comparables.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellant comparables #2, #3, and #4. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$14.02 to \$14.06 per square foot of living area. The subject's assessment of \$14.40 per square foot of living area falls above the range established by the best comparables in this record. The Board accorded no weight to the board of review's comparables, as these comparables were not similar to the subject, and appear to be have been submitted in support of the assessment for the property with PIN 09-22-302-046-0000, not the subject property. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed, and a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

Docket No: 15-24378.001-R-1

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

, Mai	io Illorias
	Chairman
21. Fe	C. R.
Member	Acting Member
assert Stoffen	Dan De Kinie
Member	Acting Member
DISSENTING:	

$\underline{CERTIFICATION}$

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	June 23, 2017	
	Aportol	
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board	

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of

Docket No: 15-24378.001-R-1

the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.