



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: 1700 - 1704 Catalpa Condo Association
DOCKET NO.: 15-24005.001-R-1 through 15-24005.009-R-1
PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are 1700 - 1704 Catalpa Condo Association, the appellant, by attorney Margaret E. Graham, of McCracken, Walsh & de LaVan in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **A Reduction** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO	PARCEL NUMBER	LAND	IMPRVMT	TOTAL
15-24005.001-R-1	14-07-204-042-1001	1,328	11,892	\$13,220
15-24005.002-R-1	14-07-204-042-1002	1,328	11,892	\$13,220
15-24005.003-R-1	14-07-204-042-1003	1,328	11,892	\$13,220
15-24005.004-R-1	14-07-204-042-1004	2,132	19,093	\$21,225
15-24005.005-R-1	14-07-204-042-1005	1,328	11,892	\$13,220
15-24005.006-R-1	14-07-204-042-1006	1,328	11,892	\$13,220
15-24005.007-R-1	14-07-204-042-1007	3,269	29,274	\$32,543
15-24005.008-R-1	14-07-204-042-1008	1,941	17,382	\$19,323
15-24005.009-R-1	14-07-204-042-1009	1,941	17,382	\$19,323

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject property is improved with an 81 year old three-story condominium building containing 9 residential units with a gross building area size of 7,950 square feet. The building is located on a 3,972 square foot site in Chicago, Lakeview Township, Cook County.

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. In support of this overvaluation argument the appellant submitted limited data on four comparable sales. The comparables sold from July 2014 to February 2015 for prices ranging from \$147,000 to \$190,000. The appellant also submitted a copy of the final decision issued by the Cook County Board of Review establishing a total assessment for the subject of \$198,806, which reflects a market value of approximately \$1,988,060 using the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance level of assessments for class 2

property of 10%. Based on this evidence the appellant requested the subject's assessment be reduced.

The board of review did not submit its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" or any evidence in support of its assessed valuation of the subject property.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Board further finds the evidence in the record supports a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. National City Bank of Michigan/Illinois v. Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board, 331 Ill.App.3d 1038 (3rd Dist. 2002). Proof of the market value of the subject property may consist of comparable sales. (86 Ill.Admin.Code 1910.65(c)(4)). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the only evidence of market value in the record are the comparable sales submitted by the appellant. The comparables sold from \$147,000 to \$180,000 per unit. The Board finds the subject's assessments reflect per unit market values ranging from \$165,800 to \$242,350 per unit, which is greater than reflected by the comparable sales in this record. The board of review did not submit any evidence in support of its assessment of the subject property or to refute the appellant's argument as required by section 1910.40(a) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board and is found to be in default pursuant to section 1910.69(a) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board. Based on this record the Property Tax Appeal Board finds a reduction commensurate with the appellant's request is appropriate.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Acting Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: January 27, 2017



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of

the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.