

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: 4516 Magnolia Corporation

DOCKET NO.: 15-23193.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 14-17-117-015-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are 4516 Magnolia Corporation, the appellant(s), by attorney Michael E. Crane, of Crane and Norcross in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$29,200 **IMPR.:** \$48,514 **TOTAL:** \$77,714

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

## **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2015 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property is a 107 year-old, three-story, six-unit apartment building of masonry construction containing 8,853 square feet of living area. Features of the subject include a full unfinished basement. The property has a 7,300 square foot site located in Chicago, Lake View Township, Cook County. The subject is a Class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity and overvaluation as the bases of the appeal<sup>1</sup>. In support of the assessment inequity argument, the appellant submitted information on six suggested equity comparable properties.

In support of the overvaluation argument, the appellant submitted a brief and attachments in support of an income capitalization argument. The appellant appended Form 8825 from the 2012 and 2013 Federal tax returns; Income and Expense Statements for all of 2014 and January through September 2015; and a current rent roll affidavit. The appellant argued that an 68.00% actual expense rate was within the acceptable market range for property of this type. The appellant did not deduct a vacancy allowance. The appellant selected an overall 12.86% capitalization rate to arrive at an income approach estimated market value of \$273,966.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$77,714. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$48,514, or \$5.48 per square foot of living area. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$777,140, or \$87.78 per square foot of living area including land, when applying the 2015 level of assessment of 10.00% for Class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four suggested equity comparable properties.

At hearing, the parties reiterated their respective arguments pertaining to assessment inequity. The appellant did not address the overvaluation argument at hearing.

## **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparable(s) #2, and the board of review's comparable(s) #1, #2, #3 and #4. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$3.84 to \$8.13 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$5.48 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparable properties in this record. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The appellant checked the boxes for Assessment Equity and Contention of Law in Section 2d of his Residential Appeal Petition. In addition to evidence in support of the Assessment Equity argument, the evidence the appellant submitted addressed an overvaluation argument based on application of the income capitalization approach.

improvement was inequitably assessed and holds that a reduction in the subject's assessment based on assessment inequity is not justified.

The appellant also contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The appellant relied upon an income capitalization approach to establish overvaluation. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted a rent roll and actual income and expense information for tax years 2012 through 2015.

In <u>Springfield Marine Bank v. Property Tax Appeal Board</u>, 44 Ill.2d 428 (1970), the Illinois Supreme Court stated:

[I]t is clearly the value of the "tract or lot of real property" which is assessed, rather than the value of the interest presently held by the owner... [R]ental income may of course be a relevant factor. However, it cannot be the controlling factor, particularly where it is admittedly misleading as to the fair cash value of the property involved... [E]arning capacity is properly regarded as the most significant element in arriving at "fair cash value"... [M]any factors may prevent a property owner from realizing an income from property which accurately reflects its true earning capacity; but it is the capacity for earning income, rather than the income actually derived, which reflects "fair cash value" for taxation purposes.

Id. at 430-31.

Actual expenses and income can be useful when shown that they are reflective of the market. Although the appellant made this argument, the appellant did not demonstrate that the subject's actual income and expenses are reflective of the market. To demonstrate or estimate the subject's market value using income, one must establish with market data: the market rent; vacancy and collection losses; and expenses to arrive at a net operating income reflective of the market and the property's capacity for earning income. The appellant did not provide such evidence. Therefore, the Board gives this argument no weight. Based on this evidence, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment for overvaluation is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

21. Fer	Chairman
Member	Member
Sobet Steffen	Dan De Kinin
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	ERTIFICATION
CERTIFICATION	
As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.	
Date:	June 18, 2019

**IMPORTANT NOTICE** 

Mauro Illorios

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

### Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

## PARTIES OF RECORD

### **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

### **APPELLANT**

4516 Magnolia Corporation, by attorney: Michael E. Crane Crane and Norcross 2 North LaSalle Street Suite 900 Chicago, IL 60602-3869

### **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602