



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Karen Christoffersen
DOCKET NO.: 15-22986.001 -R-1
PARCEL NO.: 14-29-202-035-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Karen Christoffersen, the appellant, by attorney John S. Xydakis, of the Law Offices of John S. Xydakis in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$13,675
IMPR.: \$57,832
TOTAL: \$71,507

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2015 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story multi-family dwelling of frame and masonry exterior construction with 3,695 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 127 years old. Features include a full basement, finished as an apartment and a one-car garage. The property has a 2,442-square foot site and is located in Chicago, Lake View Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-11 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on three equity comparables located in the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables are improved with one, 3-story¹ and two, 2-story multi-family dwellings that range in age from 112 to 129 years old. The

¹ A photograph provided by the appellant of comparable #2 appears to depict a three-story dwelling.

comparables had features with varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject. The dwellings range in size from 2,900 to 4,590 square feet of living area and have improvement assessments ranging from \$37,703 to \$52,073 or from \$10.97 to \$13.00 per square foot of living area. The appellant also submitted a map showing the location of the subject property and the comparable properties. The appellant requested the total assessment be reduced to \$55,576.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$71,507. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$57,832 or \$15.65 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located within .25 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables are improved with two, 2-story and two, 3-story multi-family dwellings that range in age from 105 to 129 years old. The comparables had features with varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject. The dwellings range in size from 3,171 to 3,522 square feet of living area and have improvement assessments ranging from \$53,325 to \$64,884 or from \$15.80 to \$18.42 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted information on a total of seven suggested equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparables #1 and #3 due to their distant location when compared to the subject property. The appellant's area map appears to indicate these comparables are located over .75 of a mile from the subject property. Less weight was also given to the appellant's comparable #2 and the board of review's comparables #2 and #4 due to their dissimilar dwelling design when compared to the subject property. The Board finds the board of review's comparables #1 and #3 were more similar to the subject in location, age, dwelling size, design and features. These comparables had improvement assessments of \$17.26 or \$17.70 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$15.65 per square foot of living area falls below the best comparables established in this record. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: July 17, 2018



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Karen Christoffersen, by attorney:
John S. Xydakis
Law Offices of John S. Xydakis
30 North Michigan Avenue
Suite 402
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602