

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	Michael Kallis
DOCKET NO.:	15-22007.001-R-1
PARCEL NO .:	14-29-127-045-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Michael Kallis, the appellant, by attorney Timothy E. Moran, of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>No Change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$9,168
IMPR.:	\$53,823
TOTAL:	\$62,991

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2015 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a three-story mixed-use building of masonry exterior construction with 3,300 square feet of building area. The building is approximately 83 years old and has a full basement with a finished area. The property has a 1,528 square foot site and is located in Chicago, Lake View Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-12 mixed-use property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends improvement assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on five equity comparables, two of which are located within the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables were improved with three, two-story and two, three-story mixed-use buildings of frame, masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction containing from 3,146 to 3,481 square feet of building area. The comparables are from 104 to 134 years old. One comparable has a crawl space and

four comparables have partial or full basements, one of which has a finished area. Features had varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject property. The comparables had improvement assessments ranging from \$43,654 to \$54,881 or from \$12.89 to \$16.27 per square foot of building area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the improvement assessment be reduced to \$45,790 or \$13.88 per square foot of building area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$62,991. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$53,823 or \$16.31 per square foot of building area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on three equity comparables located within the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables were improved with two, two-story and one, three-story mixed-use building area. The comparables are 107 or 117 years old. The comparables have partial or full basements, two of which have finished areas. Features had varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject property. The comparables had improvement assessments ranging from \$55,773 to \$68,926 or from \$16.31 to \$19.73 per square foot of building area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested that the assessment be confirmed.

# **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted eight suggested comparables for the Board's consideration, all of which were dissimilar to the subject with their considerably older ages. The Board gave greater weight to the appellant's comparables #1 and #4 along with the board of review comparable #2 due to their similar two-story designs like the subject property. These comparables were most similar to the subject in location, exterior construction, design, building size and foundation. They had improvement assessments ranging from \$44,456 to \$57,795 or from \$12.89 to \$16.31 per square foot of building area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$53,823 or \$16.31 per square foot of building area is within the range established by the most similar comparables contained in this record. The subject's superior age indicates it is not over assessed in comparison to both parties' comparables. The Board gave less weight to the remaining comparables due to their dissimilar designs and/or crawl space when compared to the subject property. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is supported. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Mano Moios Chairman Member Member Member Member DISSENTING:

## CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

April 17, 2018

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

## AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

## APPELLANT

Michael Kallis, by attorney: Timothy E. Moran Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. 111 West Washington Street Suite 1300 Chicago, IL 60602

### COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602