

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Charles Grass
DOCKET NO.: 15-21795.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 14-17-309-038-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Charles Grass, the appellant, by attorney Timothy E. Moran, of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$31,250 **IMPR.:** \$36,837 **TOTAL:** \$68,087

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2015 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story multi-family dwelling of frame exterior construction with 2,176 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 115 years old. Features of the building include two bathrooms, a full unfinished basement and a two-car garage. The property has a 6,250 square foot site and is located in Chicago, Lake View Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-11 apartment building property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends improvement assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on five equity comparables. The comparables were improved with two-story multi-family dwellings of frame or masonry exterior construction containing from 2,128 to 2,216 square feet of living area. The comparables range in age from 111 to 122 years old. The comparables feature two bathrooms and full basements, one

of which has finished area. The comparables have garages ranging from 1-car to 2.5-car. The comparables had improvement assessments ranging from \$27,244 to \$28,346 or from \$12.62 to \$13.15 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the improvement assessment be reduced to \$27,859 or \$12.80 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$68,087. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$36,837 or \$16.93 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located within the same block as the subject property. The comparables were improved with two-story multifamily dwellings of frame or masonry exterior construction containing from 2,166 to 2,452 square feet of living area. The comparables range in age from 116 to 125 years old. The comparables feature two bathrooms and full unfinished basements. The comparables had improvement assessments ranging from \$43,646 to \$55,965 or from \$17.80 to \$24.00 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested that the assessment be confirmed.

# **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted nine suggested comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board recognizes the subject has a lower total improvement assessment than the board of review comparables which lack garages and are inferior to the subject's two-car garage.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables, as well as the board of review comparables which are located on the same block as the subject property. These comparables are most similar to the subject's dwelling in location, design, exterior construction, age, dwelling size, foundation and features. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$27,244 to \$55,965 or from \$12.62 to \$24.00 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$36,837 or \$16.93 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the most similar comparables contained in this record. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(b) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(b)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

, M	aux Merios
	Chairman
21. Fe-	a R
Member	Member
Sobert Stoffen	Dan De Kinin
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

# CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	March 20, 2018
	Stee M Wagner
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

#### PARTIES OF RECORD

# **AGENCY**

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

# **APPELLANT**

Charles Grass, by attorney:
Timothy E. Moran
Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd.
111 West Washington Street
Suite 1300
Chicago, IL 60602

# **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602