

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Susan Ormesher
DOCKET NO.: 15-20576.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 11-32-202-010-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Susan Ormesher, the appellant, by attorney Timothy E. Moran of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd, in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>A Reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$16,815 **IMPR.:** \$53,976 **TOTAL:** \$70,791

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2015 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of stucco exterior construction with 4,972 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 127 years old. Features of the home include a crawl space foundation, central air conditioning and two fireplaces. The property has an 8,850 square foot site and is located in Chicago, Rogers Park Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-06 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. The subject's land assessment was not contested. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on five equity comparables. The comparables were improved with two-story dwellings of masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction that ranged in size from 4,059 to 4,956 square feet of living area. The dwellings are from 66 to 90 years old. Each comparable has a partial or full basement with three having finished areas. Features had varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject. The comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$47,506 to \$55,724 or from \$10.37 to

\$11.70 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the improvement assessment be reduced to \$53,976 or \$10.86 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$80,908. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$64,093 or \$12.89 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on three equity comparables. The comparables were improved with two-story dwellings of frame, masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction that range in size from 4,146 to 4,436 square feet of living area. The dwellings are from 97 to 120 years old. Each comparable has a full basement with two having finished areas. Features had varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject. The comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$56,831 to \$58,307 or from \$12.91 to \$13.71 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested that the assessment be confirmed.

# **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The parties submitted eight suggested comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board recognizes that all of the comparables have superior partial or full basements when compared to the subject's crawl space foundation. In addition, the appellant's comparables are considerably newer in age and the board of review comparables are considerably smaller in dwelling sizes when compared to the subject property. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparable #5 along with the board of review comparables based on their considerably smaller dwelling sizes.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellant's comparables #1, #2, #3 and #4 because they are closer in dwelling size to the subject property. These comparables had improvement assessments ranging from \$49,960 to 55,724 or 10.37 to 11.24 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$64,093 or \$12.89 per square foot of living area is above the range established by the best comparables contained in this record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment warrants a reduction due to the comparables newer age and superior foundation. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(b) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(b)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Mauro Illorios	
	Chairman
21. Fer	R
Member	Acting Member
assert Stoffen	Dan De Kinie
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

# CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	e: February 20, 2018	
	Stee M Wagner	
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board	

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

# **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

# **APPELLANT**

Susan Ormesher, by attorney: Timothy E. Moran Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd 111 West Washington Street Suite 1300 Chicago, IL 60602

# **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602