

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Amy & Timothy Connelly

DOCKET NO.: 15-06541.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 08-20-416-036

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Amy & Timothy Connelly, the appellants, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago, and the DuPage County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **DuPage** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$50,610 **IMPR.:** \$142,190 **TOTAL:** \$192,800

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellants timely filed the appeal from a decision of the DuPage County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2015 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a part two-story and part one-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with 3,708 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1986. Features of the home include a basement with 1,584 square feet of finished area, central air conditioning, two fireplaces and a 736 square foot garage. The property has a 13,091 square foot site and is located in Naperville, Lisle Township, DuPage County.

The appellants contend assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal concerning the improvement assessment; no dispute was raised concerning the land assessment. In support of this argument the appellants submitted information on three equity comparables. The comparables consist of two-story dwellings that were 27 or 29 years old. The homes range in size from 3,222 to 3,980 square feet of living area with basements, two of which has finished areas. The homes each have garages ranging in size from 420 to 624 square feet of building

area. No data was provided concerning air conditioning or fireplaces for the comparables. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$110,910 to \$138,240 or from \$29.29 to \$34.73 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence, the appellants requested a reduced improvement assessment of \$121,671 or \$32.81 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$192,800. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$142,190 or \$38.35 per square foot of living area.

In response to the appellants' comparables, the board of review contended that comparable #1 has a 10% reduction in assessment to both land and building as the property backs to traffic on Naper Boulevard; in addition, this comparable has an unfinished basement as compared to the subject's finished basement.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on three equity comparables located within .2 of a mile of the subject. The comparables consist of part two-story and part one-story dwellings that were built in 1985 or 1986. The homes range in size from 3,253 to 3,723 square feet of living area with basements, each of which have finished areas. The homes each have central air conditioning, one or three fireplaces and garages ranging in size from 628 to 789 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$126,630 to \$144,410 or from \$38.79 to \$39.81 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

#### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayers contend assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellants did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of six equity comparables to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board has given reduced weight to appellants' comparable #1 as this dwelling has an unfinished basement as compared to the subject's 1,584 square feet of basement finished area. The Board has also given reduced weight to appellants' comparable #3 and board of review comparable #1 as these homes are each substantially smaller than the subject dwelling.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellants' comparable #2 along with board of review comparables #2 and #3. Each of these three comparables were similar to

the subject in age, size, foundation and having finished basement area. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$138,240 to \$144,410 or from \$34.73 to \$39.81 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$142,190 or \$38.35 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record the Board finds the appellants did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(b) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(b)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Mauro Illorios	
	Chairman
21. Fer	R
Member	Acting Member
assert Stoffen	Dan De Kinie
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

# CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	e: February 20, 2018	
	Stee M Wagner	
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board	

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

# **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

# **APPELLANT**

Amy & Timothy Connelly, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

# **COUNTY**

DuPage County Board of Review DuPage Center 421 N. County Farm Road Wheaton, IL 60187