



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Arthur & Judith Koeller  
DOCKET NO.: 15-06328.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 08-29-477-023

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Arthur & Judith Koeller, the appellants, by attorney Doreen T. Paluch, of Doreen T. Paluch, P.C. in Woodstock, and the McHenry County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds A Reduction in the assessment of the property as established by the **McHenry** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$890  
**IMPR.:** \$69,305  
**TOTAL:** \$70,195

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellants timely filed the appeal from a decision of the McHenry County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2015 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a one-story dwelling of stone and vinyl exterior construction with 1,759 square feet of living area.<sup>1</sup> The dwelling was constructed in 2010. Features of the home include a concrete slab foundation, central air conditioning, a fireplace and an attached two-car garage of 506 square feet of building area. The property has a 6,509 square foot site and is located in Woodstock, Greenwood Township, McHenry County.

The appellants contend assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal concerning the subject's improvement assessment; no dispute was raised concerning the land assessment. In support of this argument the appellants submitted information on six equity comparables located within

---

<sup>1</sup> The appellants reported a dwelling size of 1,875 square feet of living area, but provided no support for the calculation. The board of review provided a copy of the subject's property record along with the schematic drawing. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds the board of review presented the best evidence of the subject's dwelling size.

one-half of a mile of the subject property. These comparables were one-story dwellings and each was described as being "5-10" years old. The comparables range in size from 1,933 to 2,461 square feet of living area with full basements, central air conditioning and two-car or three-car garages. Four of the comparables have one or two fireplaces. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$37,485 to \$51,893 or from \$19.39 to \$21.09 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence, the appellants requested a reduced improvement assessment of \$51,637 or \$29.36 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$70,670. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$69,780 or \$39.67 per square foot of living area.

In response to the appeal, the board of review contends that the subject is located in the Maples at the Sonatas planned development neighborhood where properties are semi-customizable and generally have superior quality of construction. In contrast, the appellants' comparables are located in The Sonatas planned development which do not have the same marketability and are not appropriate for comparison.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted a spreadsheet of "all the detached properties within the Maples at the Sonatas planned development area." The spreadsheet depicts three models, the Polazzo, the Portico and the Promenade; the subject is described as a Portico. The data only sets forth the design, year built, dwelling size, "rooms" and bedrooms along with assessment data. The relevant Portico model data depicts eight, one-story dwellings that were built between 2011 and 2015. The homes range in size from 1,759 to 2,400 square feet of living area. The board of review noted that the average improvement assessment of these comparables was \$39.09 per square foot of living area and the median improvement assessment was \$39.40 per square foot of living area.

Based on this data, the board of review proposed a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment to \$69,305 or \$39.40 per square foot of living area based on the median assessment.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayers contend assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellants met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The parties submitted a total of fourteen equity comparables to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board has given reduced weight to appellants'

comparables #3 through #6 along with the 2,400 square foot Portico model dwelling submitted by the board of review due to their larger dwelling sizes when compared to the subject dwelling.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellants' comparables #1 and #2 along with the remaining seven Portico comparables submitted by the board of review. These nine comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$19.39 to \$41.96 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$39.67 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. However, the board of review proposed to reduce the subject's improvement assessment to the median or \$39.40 per square foot of living area which is also well-supported by one of the board of review comparables that is identical in size to the subject dwelling, although it is two years newer than the subject. On this record, a reduction in the subject's improvement is justified commensurate with the proposal by the board of review.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(b) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(b)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Acting Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: January 16, 2018



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Arthur & Judith Koeller, by attorney:  
Doreen T. Paluch  
Doreen T. Paluch, P.C.  
130 1/2 Cass Street  
P.O. Box 786  
Woodstock, IL 60098

COUNTY

McHenry County Board of Review  
McHenry County Government Center  
2200 N. Seminary Ave.  
Woodstock, IL 60098