



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Thomas & Kate Skattum  
DOCKET NO.: 15-06219.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 06-12-203-018

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Thomas & Kate Skattum, the appellants, by attorney Brian S. Maher of Weis, DuBrock, Doody & Maher, in Chicago; and the DuPage County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **DuPage** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$ 56,660  
**IMPR.:** \$170,490  
**TOTAL:** \$227,150

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellants timely filed the appeal from a decision of the DuPage County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2015 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of frame, brick or stone exterior construction that has 3,455 square feet of living area. The dwelling was built in 1996. Features include a basement and a two-car garage. The subject property is located in York Township, DuPage County, Illinois.

The appellants contend assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. The subject's land assessment was not contested. In support of the inequity claim, the appellants submitted information for three assessment comparables. Only one comparable was located in the same neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are comprised of two-story dwellings of brick, masonry or stone; or frame, aluminum or vinyl exterior construction that were built from 1968 to 2005. Each comparable had a basement and a two-car garage. The dwellings range in size from 2,451 to 4,456 square feet of living area and have improvement assessments ranging

from \$108,050 to \$212,810 or from \$44.08 to \$47.76 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellants requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the subject's final assessment of \$227,150. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$170,490 or \$49.35 per square foot of living area.

In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review submitted three assessment comparables located within the same neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are comprised of two-story dwellings of brick, masonry or stone exterior construction that were built from 1996 to 2005. Each comparable had a basement and a two-car garage. The dwellings range in size from 3,370 to 3,457 square feet of living area and have improvement assessments ranging from \$167,350 to \$173,680 or from \$49.09 to \$50.24 per square foot of living area.

The board of review, through the township assessor, argued appellants' comparables #2 and #3 are older homes located in Downers Grove, a completely different town than the subject. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayers argued assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellants failed to meet this burden of proof.

The record contains six assessment comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to the comparables submitted by the appellant. Comparable #1 is newer in age and larger in dwelling size than the subject. Comparables #2 and #3 are older in age, smaller in size and are not located in close proximity when compared to the subject. The Board gave less weight to comparable #2 submitted by the board of review due to its newer age when compared to the subject. The Board finds the remaining two comparables are most similar when compared to the subject in location, design, age, dwelling size and features. They have improvement assessments of \$167,350 and \$169,050 or \$49.09 and \$49.66 per square foot of living area, respectively. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$170,490 or \$49.35 per square foot of living area, which falls between the most similar assessment comparables contained in the record on a per square foot basis. After considering adjustments to the comparables for any differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is supported. Therefore, no reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(b) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(b)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Acting Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: September 22, 2017



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Thomas & Kate Skattum, by attorney:  
Brian S. Maher  
Weis, DuBrock, Doody & Maher  
1 North LaSalle Street  
Suite 1500  
Chicago, IL 60602-3992

COUNTY

DuPage County Board of Review  
DuPage Center  
421 N. County Farm Road  
Wheaton, IL 60187