

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANTS:	Steven Young and Winnie Ruo
DOCKET NO.:	15-06149.001-R-1
PARCEL NO .:	06-33-303-023

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Steven Young and Winnie Ruo, the appellants, by attorney G. Terence Nader, of Schoenberg Finkel Newman & Rosenberg LLC in Chicago; and the DuPage County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **DuPage** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$89,620
IMPR.:	\$132,470
TOTAL:	\$222,090

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellants timely filed the appeal from a decision of the DuPage County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2015 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of brick, masonry or stone exterior construction with 3,854 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1980. Features of the home include a basement with finished area, central air conditioning, one fireplace and a two-car garage with 506 square feet of building area. The property has a 18,959 square foot site and is located in Oak Brook, York Township, DuPage County.

The appellants contend assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. The subject's land assessment was not contested. In support of this argument the appellants submitted information on four equity comparables located in the same neighborhood assigned by the township assessor and within .50 of a mile from the subject property. The appellants reported that the comparables

were improved with two-story style brick, masonry or stone or frame, brick or stone dwellings.¹ The dwellings range in size from 3,891 to 4,447 square feet of living area and were built from 1980 to 1982. Each comparable has an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces and a two or three-car garage ranging in size from 484 to 736 square feet of building area. One comparable has a third-story addition. The comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$121,250 to \$132,160 or from \$29.72 to \$31.16 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellants requested that the improvement assessment be reduced to \$118,433 or \$30.73 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$222,090. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$132,470 or \$34.37 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on six equity comparables located in the same neighborhood assigned by the township assessor as the subject property. The comparables were improved with two-story style brick, masonry or stone dwellings that range in size from 3,808 to 3,965 square feet of living area. The dwellings were constructed from 1983 to 1985. Each comparable has a basement with three comparables having finished area, central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces and a two-car or three-car garage ranging in size from 624 to 805 square feet of building area. One comparable has an in-ground swimming pool. The comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$131,370 to \$140,280 or from \$33.26 to \$36.83 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested that the assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayers contend assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellants did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted ten suggested comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to the appellants' comparables along with the board of review comparables #1, #4 and #5 based on their unfinished basement when compared to the subject's partially finished basement.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the board of review comparables #2, #3 and #6. These comparables are similar in location, dwelling size, design, exterior construction, age and features when compared to the subject property. Furthermore, these comparables each have a partially finished basement like the subject. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$137,050 to \$140,280 or from \$34.56 to \$36.20 per

¹ The board of review's grid analysis for the appellants' comparables depicts comparable #4 is a part one-story and part two-story style dwelling instead of a two-story style dwelling.

square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$132,470 or \$34.37 per square foot of living area falls slightly below the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record the Board finds the appellants did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(b) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(b)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Mano Moios Chairman Acting Member Member Member Member DISSENTING:

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

October 20, 2017

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Steven Young and Winnie Ruo, by attorney: G. Terence Nader Schoenberg Finkel Newman & Rosenberg LLC 222 South Riverside Plaza Suite 2100 Chicago, IL 60606-6101

COUNTY

DuPage County Board of Review DuPage Center 421 N. County Farm Road Wheaton, IL 60187