

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Michael Loughlin DOCKET NO.: 15-06099.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 09-02-413-015

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Michael Loughlin, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the DuPage County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **DuPage** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$135,240 **IMPR.:** \$512,230 **TOTAL:** \$647,470

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the DuPage County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2015 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a part two-story, part three-story and part one-story dwelling of brick exterior construction with 5,260 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2005. Features of the home include a full finished basement, central air conditioning, three fireplaces and a 770 square foot detached garage. The property has a 15,071 square foot site and is located in Hinsdale, Downers Grove Township, DuPage County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. The subject's land assessment was not contested. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on three equity comparables located in the same neighborhood assigned by the township assessor as the subject property. The comparables were improved with a part two-story, part one-story and part three-story or a part two-story, part three-story and part one-story style brick or frame and brick dwellings that ranged in size from 4,760 to 5,107 square feet of living area. The

dwellings were constructed from 2005 to 2011. Features had varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject. The comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$336,890 to \$428,510 or from \$65.97 to \$89.78 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the improvement assessment be reduced to \$428,321 or \$81.43 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$647,470. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$512,230 or \$97.38 per square foot of living area. The assessor submitted through the board of review a narrative explaining the adjustments for differences with both parties comparables when compared to the subject. Appellant's comparable #1 was adjusted 30% (economic obsolescence) for land and building due to its location near Route 83.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on three equity comparables located in the same neighborhood assigned by the township assessor as the subject property. The comparables were improved with a part 2-story, part 1-story and part 3-story; a part 2-story and part 1-story; and a part 2-story, part 3-story and part 1-story style brick or frame and brick construction. The dwellings range in size from 4,709 to 5,314 square feet of living area and were constructed from 2004 to 2011. Features had varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject. The comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$471,710 to \$500,630 or from \$94.21 to \$100.17 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested that the assessment be confirmed.

## **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted six suggested comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparable #1 due to its inferior location when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparable #2 and #3 along with the board of review comparables. These comparables are more similar in location, dwelling size, design, age and features when compared to the subject property. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$88.54 to \$100.17 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$97.38 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(b) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(b)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

, Mai	io Illorios
	Chairman
21. Fe	C. R.
Member	Acting Member
Robert Stoffen	Dan Dikini
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

# CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	: September 22, 2017	
	alportal	
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board	

## **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

## PARTIES OF RECORD

## **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

## **APPELLANT**

Michael Loughlin, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

## **COUNTY**

DuPage County Board of Review DuPage Center 421 N. County Farm Road Wheaton, IL 60187